

Notice of meeting and agenda

City of Edinburgh Council

10.00 am Thursday, 27th October, 2022

Main Council Chamber - City Chambers

This is a public meeting and members of the public are welcome to attend

The law allows the Council to consider some issues in private. Any items under “Private Business” will not be published, although the decisions will be recorded in the minute.

Contacts

Email: gavin.king@edinburgh.gov.uk

Tel: 0131 529 4239

1. Order of business

- 1.1 Including any notices of motion and any other items of business submitted as urgent for consideration at the meeting.

- 1.2 City's Past Role in Sustaining Slavery and Colonialism - Statement by the Lord Provost

2. Declaration of interests

- 2.1 Members should declare any financial and non-financial interests they have in the items of business for consideration, identifying the relevant agenda item and the nature of their interest.

3. Deputations

- 3.1 If any

4. Minutes

- 4.1 The City of Edinburgh Council of 16 September 2022 – submitted for approval as a correct record 27 - 28

- 4.2 The City of Edinburgh Council of 22 September 2022 – submitted for approval as a correct record 29 - 114

5. Leader's Report

- 5.1 Leader's report 115 - 116

6. Appointments

6.1 If any

7. Reports

- | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 7.1 | Concessionary Travel on Edinburgh Trams for Young People (Under 22) - referral from the Transport and Environment Committee | 117 - 128 |
| 7.2 | Interim Appointment of the Council's Chief Social Work Officer – Report by the Chief Executive | 129 - 132 |

8. Resolution to Consider in Private

- 8.1 The City of Edinburgh Council, is requested under Section 50(A)(4) of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973, to exclude the public from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it would involve the disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 1, 3 and 12 of Part 1 of Schedule 7A of the Act.

9. Private Reports

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|
| 9.1 | Monitoring Officer Report – Report by the Monitoring Officer | 133 - 164 |
|-----|--|-----------|

10. Motions

10.1 By Councillor Mumford – Operation Unicorn

“Council:

- Congratulates officers and the Executive Team for successfully running Operation Unicorn;
- Notes that as the capital city, Edinburgh was the main focus for mourners wishing to pay tribute to Queen Elizabeth in Scotland, incurring significant logistical challenges and costs;
- Notes that despite careful planning, last-minute changes to

Operation Unicorn beyond the control of the council led to considerable extra work and costs;

- Therefore calls for a report to December's Full Council outlining the full costs of Operation Unicorn to the council, including but not limited to:
 - officer time, including detail of overtime worked by officers to deliver Operation Unicorn, whether appropriate time has been taken back for this and details of the impact this has had on wider council workplans;
 - costs associated with queuing and crowd management including sanitation, policing, and security;
 - details of overspend for Operation Unicorn, including costs incurred due to unplanned elements of Operation Unicorn, for example the change from train to plane travel and associated road closures.
 - where possible, separation of costs incurred for the facilitation of mourning Queen Elizabeth and for the proclamation of King Charles III
- Additionally calls for a report to Finance and Resources Committee within 3 cycles outlining the costs associated with future planned visits from Charles III or other members of the monarchy that will be incurred by the council over the next year and whether this is covered in full by the Capital City Supplement;
- Further asks for detail of equality impact assessments undertaken – both formally and informally – relating to activities surrounding Operation Unicorn, especially concerning the impact on access to the city for disabled people and older adults, and safety for women;
- Finally, instructs the Council Leader and relevant Executive Staff to raise the costs of Operation Unicorn with the Scottish and UK Governments to: ascertain whether any funds will be received by the council towards this; make requests for this if not; and report back to all members on the outcome of this request.”

10.2 By Councillor McVey - Edinburgh's Christmas

- “1) Council notes the collapse of the arrangements for this year’s winter festivals and the outcome of the emergency Finance and Resources Committee on October 10th agreeing the way ahead.
- 2) Notes with regret that the Council Administration has failed to provide the briefings to councillors on progress with the contract as instructed by Finance and Resources Committee in June 2022- showing contempt for Councillors, Committee and Council.
- 3) Council agrees to revisit the options of the Christmas and Hogmanay delivery and requests a report within 3 cycles to Policy and Sustainability Committee to examine options including a joint venture model of delivery which would be publicly owned or part publicly owned.
- 4) Council agrees to re-establish the Festival and Events All-Party oversight group to oversee this year’s contract and work highlighted in point 3 with membership of the Council Leader as the Convenor, Convenor of Culture and Communities and all City Centre Ward Councillors, Group Leaders and Culture Spokespeople.”

10.3 By Councillor Younie - Sexual Entertainment Venues

“Council;

- 1) Notes that the key aims of civic licensing are the preservation of public safety and the prevention of crime and disorder.
- 2) Notes the implementation of a Nil Cap policy on Sexual Entertainment Venues (SEVs) on 1 April 2023, which may lead to the closure of four venues.
- 3) Notes that entertainers may continue to work in the industry despite possible closures and may be working in less safe and completely unregulated environments.
- 4) Recognises that this could lead to the further deterioration of performers’ safety in the city.

- 5) Therefore, agrees that a report shall be presented to the Regulatory Committee within 1 cycle to consider this.”

10.4 By Councillor Hyslop - School Bicycle Storage

“Council:

- 1) Notes that current statistics used by the Council, provided by the Hands Up Scotland Survey 2021 results, indicate that 12.3% of pupils across Edinburgh cycle, scoot and/or skate to school.
- 2) Notes the success of Bikeability programmes throughout the City and welcomes schemes from individual schools to increase active travel to and from school, for example by providing bikes on loan to pupils.
- 3) Notes that there are a number of factors which affect the number of pupils cycling to school, including access to bikes; and access to secure bike storage facilities at home and at school.
- 4) Notes, with concern, incidents of bicycle theft from school bicycle storage facilities.
- 5) (a) Requests a report to be submitted to Education, Children and Families Committee, to be referred to Transport and Environment Committee within 2 cycles which outlines potential targets for increasing the uptake of cycling, scooting and skating to school in the City.
(b) The report should include an overview of current security measures of bike storage facilities across the school estate; the cost of extra security measures that could be implemented including provision of street hangars on school grounds.
(c) The report should also include a map of current bicycle hangars across the city; a range of potential targets for an increase in residential bicycle hangars; and a range of potential targets for an increase in cycling, scooting and skating to school for consideration by Committees.”

10.5 By Councillor Day - Hong Kong Protesters

“Council notes with concern the recent alleged attacks on Hong Kong protestors outside the Chinese Consulate in Manchester

Council notes that the Vienna Convention, which gives some diplomatic immunity to consular staff and their properties, diplomats and their employees are still covered by UK law and can potentially be declared persona non grata by the British government.

Agrees That the council leader writes to the Chinese Consulate re affirming our support for freedom of speech and the right to protest peacefully, and that this is expected during any future protests in our city.”

10.6 By Councillor Campbell - Edinburgh Leisure Pitch Hire Prices

“Council:

- 1) Notes that prices for hiring 3G pitches within the schools’ estate that are operated by Edinburgh Leisure are lower than for pitches within Edinburgh Leisure’s own facilities.
- 2) Notes that this produces anomalies where prices are lower at some locations in the least deprived deciles on the SIMD index on account of them being part of the schools’ estate, but higher at some locations in areas of high multiple deprivation, such as at the Jack Kane Leisure Centre/Hunter’s Hall Park in Niddrie, which form part of Edinburgh Leisure’s own facilities.
- 3) Notes that team sports are hugely important and beneficial for children and young people for a wide range of reasons – e.g. fostering good mental and physical health, developing social skills, instilling a sense of community, and creating friendships.
- 4) Recognises that sports clubs for children and young people in areas of high multiple deprivation are reluctant to pass on rising costs to families, particularly during the current cost-of-living crisis, but that sometimes this is unavoidable.

- 5) Recognises that the Council, being committed to achieving the goals of the Poverty Commission, has a crucial interest in ensuring that children and young people in our poorest communities have continued and expanding access to team sports at an affordable price because of the benefits these provide as noted above.
- 6) Further notes that the Council is the owner both of Edinburgh Leisure's facilities and the schools' estate and therefore can exert influence in how pricing structures for the pitches operated by Edinburgh Leisure are set.
- 7) Calls upon the Convener of the Culture and Communities Committee to write to the Chief Executive of Edinburgh Leisure asking that prices for 3G pitches in Edinburgh Leisure facilities in the poorest areas of the city are set no higher than the cheapest rates available for pitch hire at sites within the schools' estate."

10.7 By Councillor Aston - Seafield Sounding Board

"Council:

- notes that the Development Management Subcommittee recently considered an application which it judged would undermine work to develop a masterplan for the wider Seafield waterfront site and accordingly rejected it.
- requests a Business Bulletin update to come to Planning Committee in three cycles confirming timelines for outputs from the Seafield Sounding board consultation and masterplan, including appointment of community consultation consultant."

10.8 By Councillor Gardiner - Information about Vaping

"Council notes that vaping occurs among young people within or near to our secondary schools.

Council notes existing Council policy banning vaping from Council premises, including schools and wider ongoing work by health professionals to establish health impacts.

Council requests a report to Education, Children and Families Committee within one cycle which considers a coordinated approach involving council school staff, NHS Lothian school nurses to raise awareness and provide evidence based public health information in schools and across services for young people about any potential health side effects from vaping to ensure young people are well informed about the choices they make.”

10.9 By Councillor Gardiner - Pentland Hills as Scotland’s New National Park

“Following the recent Scottish Government call for potential new National Parks, Council requests that officers provide an outline report to the next Pentland Hills Regional Park Joint Committee to enable members to consider the merits of the Pentland Hills forming a new National Park.

Key issues to be outlined include: safe and sustainable access from urban populations near to the park; high quality, well maintained path networks within the park; how the park can accommodate sustainable land uses and employment in addition to recreation and health benefits to the region.

The outline report should also identify key stakeholders and provide a potential timeline for: stakeholders engagement; committee reporting and subject to committee approval, identify the process, timeline and requirements for a potential submission of a Pentland Hills National Park proposal to the Scottish Government, for their consideration.”

10.10 By Councillor Fullerton - Champion Roles

“Council:

Notes the valuable impact elected members champions have made in areas such as advocating for veterans, people experiencing homelessness, older people and younger people and areas of the City like Edinburgh’s festivals and events and small businesses.

Notes that since May 2022, no appointments have been made to continue any of this work.

Calls for a report within one cycle to make appointments to the full existing list of Elected Member Champions, with job descriptions included in the report. These roles would continue to act as an ambassador for their specific area and taking responsibility for maintaining and raising the profile of their area.”

10.11 By Councillor Miller - Safe Surgeries

“Council:

- 1) Reaffirms that Edinburgh welcomes migrants to our city.
- 2) Notes the *Safe Surgeries* initiative, for GP practices which commit to taking steps to tackle the barriers faced by many migrants in accessing healthcare, which is organised by Doctors of the World, an independent humanitarian movement working to empower excluded people to access healthcare.
- 3) Notes that Edinburgh Integration Joint Board (EIJB) is responsible for primary care in Edinburgh and reaffirms this council’s commitment to working in partnership with the board
- 4) Asks the EIJB to consider ways in which GP practices could be supported to become *Safe Surgeries*.
- 5) Recommits to working collaboratively with the EIJB on all issues relating to the health and wellbeing of migrants in Edinburgh.”

10.12 By Councillor Parker - Ethical Advertising Sponsorship Policy

“Council:

- Notes that the purpose of advertising and sponsorship is to stimulate demand for goods and services, including those which are environmentally damaging
- Notes that some advertising prohibitions and restrictions already exist across the UK, including for tobacco products

and offensive weapons

- Notes that Councils across the UK – including Liverpool, Bristol, Norwich and North Somerset – have passed versions of ethical advertising policies which use powers available to Councils to prohibit the advertising of environmentally or socially irresponsible goods and services
- Recognises the contradiction between the Council’s declaration of a Climate Emergency and the continued advertising of environmentally damaging goods and services in Edinburgh
- Therefore, requests a report to Policy & Sustainability committee within 2 cycles which:
 - Sets out current council policy towards advertising and sponsorship, including what consideration is given to the advertisement of products and services which undermine the council’s commitment to tackling the climate emergency, ending poverty and making the city a welcoming place for all
 - Explores the feasibility and process by which an ethical advertising and sponsorship policy could be developed including information about:
 - How other councils have developed their ethical advertising policy
 - What products and services are currently being advertised in Council land / property in Edinburgh
 - Any potential legal or financial risks for the council related to adopting an ethical advertising and sponsorship policy.”

10.13 By Councillor Mumford - 16 Days Activism Against Gender Based Violence

“Council:

- Notes that the 16 Days of Activism against Gender-Based Violence is an annual international campaign that kicks off on 25 November, the International Day for the Elimination of

Violence against Women, and runs until 10 December, Human Rights Day. And further notes that the international theme of this year's 16 Days is 'UNITE', and the Scotland theme is #WhatNext – together recognising that everyone must come together to take action against gender-based violence;

- Recognises that gender-based violence is both a cause and consequence of women's inequality and reaffirms our commitment to eradicate both violence and inequality for everyone in Edinburgh;
- Recognises that while gender-based violence is overwhelmingly experienced by women, people of other genders, including men, are also victim-survivors of gender-based violence.
- Commends the work of service-delivery organisations Edinburgh Women's Aid, Shakti Women's Aid, Edinburgh Rape Crisis, as well as all organisations involved in the Equally Safe Edinburgh Committee working for an end to gender-based violence.

Council further:

- Instructs party leaders and civic office holders to work together with the relevant officers, and in reference to the Improvement Service's 16 Days Toolkit to mark the 16 Days of Activism against Gender Based Violence by taking public action to highlight Edinburgh Council's objections to all forms of gender-based violence, including but not limited to:
 - Cyber-flashing
 - Sharing of intimate images (revenge porn)
 - Stalking
 - Rape and sexual abuse
 - Female Genital Mutilation
 - Domestic Abuse including coercive control, financial abuse and reproductive control
 - Sexual harassment in schools, the workplace and in public
 - Forced marriage

- Requests a report to Full Council in four cycles from the Equally Safe Edinburgh Committee on how the Council is taking action against Gender-Based Violence throughout the year, not just during the 16 days. This report should include highlights of the work over the past year, key aims and activities over the coming year and, in addition to reporting on activities throughout Edinburgh, include detail on any steps that are being taken to protect elected members, employees and workers in the Council from all forms of gender-based violence.”

10.14 By Councillor Mumford - Get Me Home Safely

“Council recognises:

- Many industries which make Edinburgh thrive – including hospitality, health and social care, and cleaning – depend on shift work which can often entail late-night working.
- These are sectors which predominantly employ women, and many workers are increasingly worried about their safety travelling to and from work at night;
- Both employers and decision makers have a duty of care towards workers in Edinburgh, which does not end when an employee finishes their shift but need to take into consideration journeys home, especially during unsocial hours;
- Unite the union’s ‘Get Me Home Safely’ campaign calls on employers to take all reasonable steps to ensure workers are able to get home safely from work at night,
- Last year, East Dunbartonshire Council adopted a Supplementary Statement of Licensing Policy meaning that Hospitality venues in East Dunbartonshire will now have to ensure their employees can safely travel to and from work late at night, or risk losing their licence.

And therefore council:

- Fully supports Unite the union’s ‘Get Me Home Safely’ campaign

- Instructs relevant officers in Licensing, Transport and Community Safety to design an implementation plan to meet the aims of this campaign including:
 - adopting of a policy to ensure that the approval of late-night licences will be contingent on employers funding safe transport home for their shift workers, thereby ensuring that workers don't face additional financial penalties or risk for undertaking late night shifts;
 - engaging with Lothian buses and Edinburgh trams regarding the provision of better night-time public transport services which links with the needs of shift workers;
 - Using Edinburgh Council's voice on regional and national bodies to advocate for any additional legislative changes, for example around private car hire use and taxable benefits, which may be required to ensure that our city's workers are able to travel home safely without incurring additional costs."

10.15 By Councillor Cowdy - YouTube Access at High Schools

"Council:

Recognises the huge benefits that pupils from schools across the city receive from accessing educational information on YouTube including tutorials, documentaries and news items.

Acknowledges that inappropriate material is abundant on the internet so responsible steps are necessary to protect children and young people from these risks, and that YouTube has strict content policies which cover content relating to nudity, hate speech, graphic violence, or dangerous acts.

Understands that a blanket ban on YouTube at schools will not stop access to the other sources of inappropriate material on the internet through search engines or through personal devices.

Agrees to overturn the blanket ban on YouTube at schools to allow pupils to access material vital to their educational attainment."

10.16 By Councillor Jones - Absenteeism in Schools

“Council notes:

Boroughmuir High School's absence rate among girls rose from 5% in 2018 to 7% in 2021, while among boys it rose from 5.3% to 6.2%.

At Craigroyston High, girls' absences rose from 12.7% to 18.3% and boys' from 10.6% to 16.5%.

At Portobello High, girls' absences rose from 9.7% to 13.5% and boys' from 8.7% to 11.3%.

Council notes that while attendance in schools in Edinburgh is generally good, a significant number of children are 'slipping through the net'.

Council calls for a report in one cycle to Education, Children and Families Committee to report on how schools are addressing absenteeism with specific reference to:

- 1) Ensuring schools identify children who have had less than 85% attendance in the years following covid in order to support them.
- 2) Whether schools are providing tailored catch-up plans for the children noted above with specific measurable achievable learning outcomes for each child;
- 3) Whether provision of private one-to-one tuition or small group tuition is available outside the normal school day.

Where the above is not in place, what steps the Authority can take to assist Headteachers in providing pupils with increased assistance to improve attendance and, ultimately individual attainment.”

10.17 By Councillor Burgess - Bridgend Farmhouse

“Council,

Recognises that Bridgend Farmhouse

www.bridgendfarmhouse.org.uk is an award winning, community-owned and run charitable organisation in South Edinburgh with a

mission to ensure that their renovated farmhouse exists as a sustainable community-owned centre for learning, eating and exercise, where all can learn, work and grow together to develop a flourishing community and place;

Congratulates Bridgend Farmhouse on their 4th anniversary since opening as a community hub in 2018 and the recent opening of their straw-bale and timber Eco Bothy & Play area in October 2022 after seven years work by over 80 volunteers;

Notes that Bridgend Farmhouse is the first example of a successful community asset transfer from this Council, having taken place in 2015;

Welcomes the aims and objectives of Bridgend Farmhouse;

- To advance community development through the shared ownership of Bridgend Farmhouse and providing opportunities for active citizenship and volunteering.
- To advance education and training in the community by utilising the farmhouse site for various learning activities, particularly those that support engagement in the outdoors, local woodlands, sustainability, and environmental and place-based education.
- To advance health, both physical and mental, and address health inequalities and social isolation to support people to live happy, healthy and enriched lives.
- To advance the arts, heritage and culture of the local area and on site.
- To promote equality and diversity by providing accessible facilities and a diversity of activities.
- To advance environmental protection, biodiversity and the enjoyment of the Craigmillar Castle park, and promote and enable sustainable development and living practices.

Further welcomes that Bridgend Bike Hub project is a well-used active travel hub in South-East Edinburgh, with bike hire, bike repair, cycling classes and electric-bikes, generating work and opportunities that are contributing towards the Council's active travel targets and providing local, affordable, accessible and supported cycling and walking facilities;

Agrees in principle that the council should provide advice and in-kind support for Bridgend Farmhouse Community Benefit Society with Charitable Status as a contribution towards its continuing success.”

10.18 By Councillor Faccenda - Human Rights in Iran

“Council:

Condemns the violent oppression of the anti-governmental protestors in Iran after the death of Mahsa Amini following her arrest and alleged beating by the so-called “morality police” and recognises the bravery of those fighting for human rights many of them women.

Condemns the violence of state forces including shooting, firing of tear gas and arrest of many protestors leading to reports of the death of at least 154 people including children and injury of many others.

Notes that many of those leading the protests are women who are calling for the protection of the rights of women in Iran and for an end to enforced veiling and the daily violence and oppression they face.

Agrees the Leader of the Council will write to the Iranian Ambassador to the United Kingdom expressing our solidarity with those demonstrating in favour of human rights in Iran and condemning the violent oppression being used against them.

And further agrees the Leader of the Council will write to the Home Secretary urging her to take all actions possible to help Iranian refugees seeking asylum in the United Kingdom.”

10.19 By Councillor Lezley Marion Cameron - Small Business Saturday 2022

“Small Business Saturday is a grass roots, non-commercial campaign which highlights small business success and encourages people to “shop local” and support businesses in their communities. This year Small Business Saturday falls on Saturday 3 December.

Council committed to, and achieved, in the period up to the Covid 19 pandemic impacting the UK, year on year increases in the percentage of overall Council budget spend with Small Businesses.

Council agrees:

To promote and support Small Business Saturday by proactively engaging with Edinburgh's Small Businesses and Community Councils in the run-up to Small Business Saturday on 3 December 2022.

That Council engagement with Small Business should include highlighting the benefits which Active Travel and Public Transport usage, together with access and infrastructure improvements, bring to supporting our city's small businesses and local shopping areas year-round.

To call for a report back to the Transport & Environment Committee within 2 cycles on establishing an appropriate and cost neutral mechanism through which ideas and suggestions to Council on low-cost measures for improving user and shopper access to local shopping streets via Active Travel and Public Transport means – dropped kerbs, bike racks, clutter removal etc. The methods for doing this should include:

- 1) Linking with the 20min neighbourhood programme which already has committed to working with businesses; and/or,
- 2) Ways in which the Council can proactively engage with the small business community to promote Active Travel and public transport.”

11. Congratulatory Motions

11.1 By the Lord Provost - David Pollacchi - Sport Scotland – Volunteer of the Year Award 2022

“Council

Congratulates David Pollacchi, a dedicated Committee Member and team coach from Lochend Football Academy who, from 200,000 nominated volunteers across Scotland won the ‘Sport

Scotland's Volunteer of the Year' Award 2022!

David has championed a successful fundraising campaign over a fourteen-month period to raise funding of circa £70,000 to replace the previous, almost unplayable surface with a new 3G sustainable facility that will last for the next decade.

During this time the club have become a registered charity and also Scottish Football Association Quality Mark accredited.

As per the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) 2020, Lochend is one of the most deprived areas in the city of Edinburgh and this grassroots community football academy opened in 2008, located on the edge of Lochend Park and attracts children and young people from the local neighbourhood. The club promotes a strong community ethos where both mental and physical wellbeing are enhanced with football in an outdoors environment, aiding the children's growth and potential.

Following fundraising initiatives, David has this year managed to secure funding from the OneCity Trust to provide free football camps and coaching sessions for children (both boys and girls). In addition, sponsorship deals have been negotiated for the children's football strips with Farmers Autocare and NRS Healthcare.

David has shown a high level of dedication in making a positive difference to the wellbeing of local children and young people, by inspiring them with his commitment to football, the club and the community.

Council requests that the Lord Provost recognises this success in an appropriate manner."

12. Questions

- | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------|
| 12.1 | By Councillor McVey - Numbers Enrolled in Fulltime Education in Edinburgh Schools – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee | 165 - 166 |
| 12.2 | By Councillor Mattos Coelho - School Staff Recruitment – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families | 167 - 168 |

Committee

- | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------|
| 12.3 | By Councillor McVey - Edinburgh's Christmas – for answer by the Leader of the Council | 169 - 170 |
| 12.4 | By Councillor McNeese-Mechan - Edinburgh's Christmas – for answer by the Convener of the Culture and Communities Committee | 171 - 172 |
| 12.5 | By Councillor Macinnes - Edinburgh's Christmas – for answer by the Convener of the Finance and Resources Committee | 173 - 174 |
| 12.6 | By Councillor Lang - Queensferry High School Operating Capacity – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee | 175 - 176 |
| 12.7 | By Councillor Lang - Resurfacing of Station Road in Queensferry – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee | 177 - 178 |
| 12.8 | By Councillor Lang - Garden Waste Collection Compensation Scheme – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee | 179 - 180 |
| 12.9 | By Councillor Neil Ross - Pedestrian Improvements for Local Town Centres – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee | 181 - 182 |
| 12.10 | By Councillor Davidson - Kinship Carers – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee | 183 - 184 |

12.11	By Councillor Dijkstra-Downie - Surface Treatment – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	185 - 186
12.12	By Councillor Dijkstra-Downie - School Travel Plans – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	187 - 188
12.13	By Councillor Thornley - Community Council Support – for answer by the Convener of the Culture and Communities Committee	189 - 190
12.14	By Councillor Osler - Dropped Kerbs – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	191 - 192
12.15	By Councillor Davidson - School Trips Abroad - for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	193 - 194
12.16	By Councillor Thornley - Cycle Lane in Maybury Drive – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	195 - 196
12.17	By Councillor Caldwell - Smart Bins Date – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	197 - 198
12.18	By Councillor Neil Ross - Parking Permits for Carers – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	199 - 200
12.19	By Councillor Campbell - Homelessness Services – for answer by the Convener of the Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee	201 - 202

12.20	By Councillor McFarlane - BT Phone Boxes - for answer by the Leader of the Council	203 - 204
12.21	By Councillor Aston - City Plan 2030 – for answer by the Convener of the Planning Committee	205 - 206
12.22	By Councillor Kumar - James Gillespie's High School – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	207 - 208
12.23	By Councillor Key - Education, Children and Families Committee Reports – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	209 - 210
12.24	By Councillor Mattos Coelho - Bus Passes Under 22 – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	211 - 212
12.25	By Councillor McVey - Ukranian Funding – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	213 - 214
12.26	By Councillor Mumford - Gender Budgeting – for answer by the Convener of the Finance and Resources Committee	215 - 216
12.27	By Councillor Younie - CCTV with Links to Human Rights Abuses – for answer by the Leader of the Council	217 - 218
12.28	By Councillor Younie - Day Centres – for answer by the Chair of the Edinburgh Integration Joint Board	219 - 220

12.29	By Councillor Booth - Licensing Board – for answer by the Leader of the Council	221 - 222
12.30	By Councillor Dijkstra-Downie - Schools Kitchen Upgrade – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	223 - 224
12.31	By Councillor Bruce - Currie and Wester Hailes High School Swimming Pools – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	225 - 226
12.32	By Councillor Bruce – Recycling/Garden Waste Bins – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	227 - 228
12.33	By Councillor Bruce - South West Bus Services – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	229 - 230
12.34	By Councillor Cowdy - Cycle Infrastructure Investment – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	231 - 232
12.35	By Councillor Cowdy - Dell Bridges – for answer by the Convener of the Culture and Communities Committee	233 - 234
12.36	By Councillor Cowdy - Edinburgh Recycling Centres – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	235 - 236
12.37	By Councillor Cowdy - EV Charging for Organisations – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	237 - 238

12.38	By Councillor Cowdy - Road Repairs and Street Design Guidance – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	239 - 240
12.39	By Councillor Cowdy - Sewerage Infrastructure Capacity – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	241 - 242
12.40	By Councillor Doggart - General Teaching Council for Scotland (GTCS) – Fitness to Teach – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	243 - 244
12.41	By Councillor Jones - Attainment in Primary Schools – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	245 - 246
12.42	By Councillor Jones - Brunstane Road ETRO – for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee	247 - 248
12.43	By Councillor Jones - Placing Appeals Process – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	249 - 250
12.44	By Councillor Gardiner - Edinburgh's Christmas – for answer by the Convener of the Development Management Sub-Committee	251 - 252
12.45	By Councillor Work - Edinburgh's Christmas – for answer by the Convener of the Licensing Board	253 - 254
12.46	By Councillor Fullerton - Edinburgh's Christmas – for answer by the Convener of the Licensing Sub-Committee	255 - 256

12.47	By Councillor Fullerton - Edinburgh's Christmas – for answer by the Convener of the Regulatory Committee	257 - 258
12.48	By Councillor Caldwell - Edinburgh's Small, Independent Shopfronts – for answer by the Leader of the Council	259 - 260
12.49	By Councillor Heap - Gorgie Farm – for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee	261 - 262

Nick Smith

Service Director, Legal and Assurance

Information about the City of Edinburgh Council

The City of Edinburgh Council consists of 63 Councillors and is elected under proportional representation. The City of Edinburgh Council usually meets once a month and the Lord Provost is the Convener when it meets.

The City of Edinburgh Council usually meets in the Council Chamber in the City Chambers on the High Street in Edinburgh. There is a seated public gallery and the Council meeting is open to all members of the public.

Further information

If you have any questions about the agenda or meeting arrangements, please contact Gavin King, Committee Services, City of Edinburgh Council, Business Centre 2.1, Waverley Court, 4 East Market Street, Edinburgh EH8 8BG, Tel 0131 529 4239, email gavin.king@edinburgh.gov.uk.

A copy of the agenda and papers for this meeting will be available for inspection prior to the meeting at the main reception office, City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh.

The agenda, minutes and public reports for this meeting and all the main Council committees can be viewed online by going to <https://democracy.edinburgh.gov.uk/>.

Webcasting of Council meetings

Please note this meeting may be filmed for live and subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site – at the start of the meeting the Convener will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed.

The Council is a Data Controller under the General Data Protection Regulation and Data Protection Act 2018. We broadcast Council meetings to fulfil our public task obligation to enable members of the public to observe the democratic process. Data collected during this webcast will be retained in accordance with the Council's published policy including, but not limited to, for the purpose of keeping historical records and making those records available via the Council's internet site.

Generally the public seating areas will not be filmed. However, by entering the Council Chamber and using the public seating area, individuals may be filmed and images and sound recordings captured of them will be used and stored for web casting and training purposes and for the purpose of keeping historical records and making those records available to the public.

Any information presented by individuals to the Council at a meeting, in a deputation or otherwise, in addition to forming part of a webcast that will be held as a historical record, will also be held and used by the Council in connection with the relevant matter until that matter is decided or otherwise resolved (including any potential appeals and other connected processes). Thereafter, that information will continue to be held as part of the historical record in accordance with the paragraphs above.

If you have any queries regarding this, and, in particular, if you believe that use and/or storage of any particular information would cause, or be likely to cause, substantial damage or distress to any individual, please contact Committee Services (committee.services@edinburgh.gov.uk).

Minutes

The City of Edinburgh Council (Special Meeting)

Edinburgh, Friday 16 September 2022

Present:-

LORD PROVOST

The Right Honourable Robert Aldridge

COUNCILLORS

Scott Arthur
Danny Aston
Alan C Beal
Marco Biagi
Chas Booth
Graeme Bruce
Steve Burgess
Jack Caldwell
Lezley Marion Cameron
Kate Campbell
Christopher Cowdy
James Dalgleish
Euan R Davidson
Cammy Day
Sanne Dijkstra-Downie
Denis C Dixon
Stuart Dobbin
Phil Doggart
Katrina Faccenda
Pauline Flannery
Catherine Fullerton
Neil Gardiner
Fiona Glasgow
Margaret A Graham
Joan Griffiths
Dan Heap
Euan Hyslop
Stephen P Jenkinson
Tim Jones

David Key
Simita Kumar
Kevin Lang
Lesley Macinnes
Martha Mattos Coelho
Finlay McFarlane
Ross McKenzie
Amy McNeese-Mechan
Adam McVey
Jane E Meagher
Claire Miller
Max Mitchell
Joanna Mowat
Alys Mumford
Marie-Clair Munro
Vicky Nicolson
Hal Osler
Tim Pogson
Frank Ross
Neil J Ross
Jason Rust
Alex Staniforth
Edward J Thornley
Val Walker
Mandy H Watt
Iain Whyte
Norman J Work
Louise Young

1. **Death of Her Majesty the Queen – Motion by the Lord Provost**

The Council observed a minute's silence in memory of Her Majesty the Queen who had died on 8 September 2022.

The following motion by the Lord Provost was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

"On behalf of the citizens of Edinburgh, this Council offers its deep condolences to the Royal Family on news of the death of Her Majesty the Queen.

Throughout her extraordinary reign she showed great appreciation for her ancient and hereditary Kingdom of Scotland and its capital city Edinburgh.

During her countless visits to Edinburgh she reached out to charities, veterans, service personnel, hospitals and children and won a very special place in the hearts of the citizens of Edinburgh and Scotland.

Over her seven decade reign, she showed unwavering and inspirational, dedication to serving the nation and the Commonwealth, offering wise counsel in often turbulent times.

This Council and this City are in mourning. Council gives thanks for Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth's exceptional 70 years' service and extends its deepest sympathy to the Royal Family at this very difficult time."

- moved by the Lord Provost, seconded by Councillor Lezley Marion Cameron

The Lord Provost, Depute Convener Cameron, Councillor Day, Councillor Frank Ross, Councillor McVey, Councillor Lang, Councillor Mumford and Councillor Whyte paid tribute to the service and contributions made by Her Majesty the Queen.

Decision

To approve the motion by the Lord Provost.

Minutes

The City of Edinburgh Council

Edinburgh, Thursday 22 September 2022

Present:-

LORD PROVOST

The Right Honourable Robert Aldridge

COUNCILLORS

Scott Arthur
Danny Aston
Jule Bandel
Alan C Beal
Marco Biagi
Chas Booth
Graeme Bruce
Steve Burgess
Jack Caldwell
Lezley Marion Cameron
Kate Campbell
Christopher Cowdy
James Dalglish
Euan R Davidson
Cammy Day
Sanne Dijkstra-Downie
Denis C Dixon
Stuart Dobbin
Phil Duggan
Katrina Faccenda
Pauline Flannery
Catherine Fullerton
Neil Gardiner
Fiona Glasgow
Joan Griffiths
Dan Heap
Euan Hyslop
Stephen P Jenkinson
Tim Jones
David Key

Simita Kumar
Lesley Macinnes
Martha Mattos Coelho
Finlay McFarlane
Ross McKenzie
Amy McNeese-Mechan
Adam McVey
Jane E Meagher
Claire Miller
Max Mitchell
Jo Mowat
Alys Mumford
Marie-Clair Munro
Vicky Nicolson
Hal Osler
Ben Parker
Tim Pogson
Susan Rae
Frank Ross
Neil J Ross
Jason Rust
Alex Staniforth
Edward J Thornley
Val Walker
Mandy H Watt
Iain Whyte
Norman J Work
Louise Young
Lewis J Younie

1 Order of Business – Suspension of Standing Order 24.1 - Voting

The Lord Provost ruled that Standing Order 24.1 be suspended for this meeting and that voting be taken by a show of hands and with a clear public audit trail from vote to Member.

2 Bus Service Single Fares - Motion by Councillor Thornley

a) Deputation – Edinburgh Bus Users Group

The deputation indicated that their aim was to provide a voice for bus users and were committed to protecting and improving Edinburgh's bus network for the benefit of bus users and potential users. They indicated that Edinburgh's bus network was widely considered a model services by people in and outwith Edinburgh.

The deputation stressed that there were a number of challenges facing bus services in Edinburgh including the shortage of drivers and the withdrawal of the Scottish Government's Covid related support. They indicated that to improve the services, bus priority measures needed to be put in place together with good bus stops and that the partnership between Lothian Buses and the Council needed to be re-established.

The deputation was in support of the motion by Councillor Thornley and felt that there was scope to extend the reach of the bus network by allowing for an interchange service.

b) Motion by Councillor Thornley

The following motion by Councillor Thornley was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council;

- 1) notes the recent changes made by Lothian Buses to its route network in response to current passenger numbers and wider challenges in the bus services market.
- 2) recognises how these changes have resulted in many passengers losing direct services and mean they must now take two services to get to and from their destination, increasing costs and potentially discouraging the use of using public transport in Edinburgh.

- 3) notes the findings of the Poverty Commission which identified the costs of public transport being a barrier to people accessing work and education.
- 4) notes the ticketing schemes in place in some other UK cities, such as London's 'hopper fare', whereby passengers can use any service within one hour for the price of a single fare.
- 5) believes a similar scheme in Edinburgh is worthy of consideration and would ensure those passengers who need to get two buses rather than one are not unfairly penalised.
- 6) therefore agrees that the Transport and Environment Convener should write to Lothian Buses to ask it to consider a similar 'one hour' scheme and for the response to be provided to all councillors."

Motion

To approve the motion by Councillor Thornley

- moved by Councillor Thornley, seconded by Councillor Younie

Amendment

- 1) To add at point 1 of the motion by Councillor Thornley, after 'Lothian Buses', insert 'and McGill's.'
- 2) To add at point 6 of the motion, after 'Lothian Buses', insert 'and McGill's.'

- moved by Councillor Aston, seconded by Councillor Work

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), the amendment was accepted as an amendment to the motion.

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Thornley:

- 1) To note the recent changes made by Lothian Buses and McGill's to its route network in response to current passenger numbers and wider challenges in the bus services market.
- 2) To recognise how these changes had resulted in many passengers losing direct services and meant they must now take two services to get to and from their destination, increasing costs and potentially discouraging the use of using public transport in Edinburgh.

- 3) To note the findings of the Poverty Commission which identified the costs of public transport being a barrier to people accessing work and education.
- 4) To note the ticketing schemes in place in some other UK cities, such as London's 'hopper fare', whereby passengers could use any service within one hour for the price of a single fare.
- 5) To believe a similar scheme in Edinburgh was worthy of consideration and would ensure those passengers who needed to get two buses rather than one were not unfairly penalised.
- 6) To therefore agree that the Transport and Environment Convener should write to Lothian Buses and McGill's to ask it to consider a similar 'one hour' scheme and for the response to be provided to all councillors.

3 Support for Roseburn Businesses - Motion by Councillor Davidson

a) Deputation – Roseburn Traders

The deputation indicated that they were under a great deal of pressure due to the on-going works with no passing trade and businesses having to close. They felt that the work was ill-timed and non-essential only putting unnecessary strain on the businesses in the area.

The deputation stressed that they needed support during the process and indicated that during July and August when work had stopped, business had picked up but since new barriers had been put in place in September custom had dropped dramatically. The deputation questioned how much longer the work would take to install the cyclepath and why the area had not been properly surveyed before the work commenced.

The deputation urged the Council to re-consider a compensation package for those businesses who had been seriously affected by the ongoing works.

b) Motion by Councillor Davidson

The following motion by Councillor Davidson was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council:

- 1) Notes that the Council Leader recently met with the owners and operators of businesses on Roseburn Terrace and heard about the negative impact that the ongoing CCWEL works had on their operations;

- 2) Further notes that many businesses have seen a sharp decrease in their takings throughout the programme of works and recognises the legitimate concerns that the resumption of construction activity will damage takings even further in the run up to the vital festive period;
- 3) Believes that these businesses represent the heart of the Roseburn community, and that the council should do everything within its powers to make sure they are able to survive the disruption caused by these works;
- 4) Recognises that infrastructure projects that take a significant period of time to complete often have a more acute impact on business than roadworks generally;
- 5) Understands that measures undertaken to help these businesses so far have proven to be insufficient and that more needs to be done to support them;
- 6) As such agrees to look into the possibility of setting up a compensation scheme for those businesses who have been adversely affected by the works to ensure they continue to operate over the coming months that the roadworks are in place.”

- moved by Councillor Davidson, seconded by Councillor Beal

Amendment 1

To add to the motion by Councillor Davidson:

- “7) Agrees that the source of funding for any such scheme is made clear and that no other projects should lose funding as a result. If funding is being reallocated from existing budgets, affected workstreams and projects should be explicitly identified.
- 8) Agrees that the report will establish the principles by which all potential future schemes to compensate businesses for disruption from infrastructure projects could operate.”

- moved by Councillor Aston, seconded by Frank Ross

Amendment 2

To accept points 1-4 of the motion by Councillor Davidson

To replace points 5-6 of the motion as follows

- “5) Notes the information provided by the council to elected members and businesses on support for businesses during roadworks
- 6) Notes the documented benefits to businesses of improved walking, wheeling and cycling infrastructure through increased footfall and spend
- 7) Calls for businesses along the route to continue their engagement with the project team to ensure all issues are picked up and the council supports businesses throughout project delivery.”

- moved by Councillor Miller, seconded by Councillor Bandel

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendments 1 and 2 were accepted as addendums to the motion.

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Davidson:

- 1) To note that the Council Leader recently met with the owners and operators of businesses on Roseburn Terrace and heard about the negative impact that the ongoing CCWEL works had on their operations.
- 2) To further note that many businesses had seen a sharp decrease in their takings throughout the programme of works and recognise the legitimate concerns that the resumption of construction activity would damage takings even further in the run up to the vital festive period.
- 3) To believe that these businesses represented the heart of the Roseburn community, and that the council should do everything within its powers to make sure they were able to survive the disruption caused by these works.
- 4) To recognise that infrastructure projects that took a significant period of time to complete often had a more acute impact on business than roadworks generally.
- 5) To understand that measures undertaken to help these businesses so far had proven to be insufficient and that more needed to be done to support them.
- 6) As such to agree to look into the possibility of setting up a compensation scheme for those businesses who had been adversely affected by the works

to ensure they continued to operate over the coming months that the roadworks were in place.

- 7) To agree that the source of funding for any such scheme be made clear and that no other projects should lose funding as a result. If funding was being reallocated from existing budgets, affected workstreams and projects should be explicitly identified.
- 8) To agree that the report would establish the principles by which all potential future schemes to compensate businesses for disruption from infrastructure projects could operate.
- 9) To note the information provided by the council to elected members and businesses on support for businesses during roadworks.
- 10) To note the documented benefits to businesses of improved walking, wheeling and cycling infrastructure through increased footfall and spend.
- 11) To call for businesses along the route to continue their engagement with the project team to ensure all issues were picked up and the council supported businesses throughout project delivery.

4 Rent Freeze – Motion by Councillor Meagher

a) Deputation – Living Rent

The deputation felt that with the cost of living crisis, fuel poverty and the increased use of foodbanks there could be no justification for increasing rents. They indicated that people were already struggling and advice given to them by Changeworks was not helpful. They felt that the introduction of “Warm Banks” in community centres where people could go to keep warm was both demeaning and demoralising.

The deputation indicated that the third sector were struggling to get funding and therefore were unable to pass on help to those that needed it. They stressed that Edinburgh’s social rents were already the highest in Scotland and that a further increase seemed unfair especially since they felt that the housing stock was in a poor state and that the Council needed to justify why it could increase rents when not meeting its own contractual obligations to provide a safe and warm home for its tenants.

The deputation also outlined the lack of good quality rented accommodation within the private rented sector where there had also been large rent increases. The deputation stressed that the rent freeze was welcome it could not be allowed to end without permanent protections being in place as it would lead to an avalanche of rent increases in 2023.

The deputation urged the Council to explore all avenues and powers necessary to prevent Edinburgh residents plunging into poverty and becoming a city that was unliveable for all except the wealthiest. They also asked the Council to Consider expanding the rent freeze across 2024 and providing an allowance being made available for tenants moving across to privately rented accommodation. They indicated that they were willing to work with the Council to find solutions.

b) Motion by Councillor Meagher

The following motion by Councillor Meagher was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council:

- 1) Notes the Scottish Government’s intention to introduce emergency legislation which freezes rents for private and social rented homes until at least the end of March 2023.
- 2) Notes that planned consultation on rent policy with council tenants is imminent, with any agreed rent increase due to come into place on April 1st, 2023.
- 3) Notes that council rents in Edinburgh remain the highest in Scotland.
- 4) Agrees that given the above, and in the context of the cost-of-living crisis, that planned consultation with council tenants on a potential rent increase should be reconsidered.
- 5) Requests a report to the next Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee on the implications of a rent freeze for council tenants in 2023/24.
- 6) Requests a report to the next Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee on the implications of a rent freeze for Edinburgh Living tenants in 2023/24.
- 7) Agrees that the Council Leader will write to the Scottish Government requesting that the rent freeze across private and social rented homes is maintained until rent controls are in place.
- 8) Agrees that the Council Leader will write to the Scottish and UK Governments, detailing the scale of Edinburgh’s housing crisis, and requesting both emergency and long-term funding to allow the council to purchase and build more homes for social rent.”

Motion

To approve the motion by Councillor Meagher.

- moved by Councillor Meagher, seconded by Councillor Watt

Amendment

Adds after point 6 of the motion by Councillor Meagher:

- “7) Notes that detailed information on the financial status of the HRA has not been shared outwith the administration.
- 8) Agrees that that the reports outlined in points 5 and 6 include detailed information setting out the administration’s financial strategy that will ensure:
- The council housebuilding programme continues as agreed in February 2022, with no reduction in the £1.2bn committed to new homes development and costs up until 2027.
 - That the EESSH 2 targets are met, retrofitting existing council homes to high energy efficiency standards and there is no delay in delivery of this programme in full by 2032.
 - That there will continue to be capacity to invest in the repairs service, improving service standards and the quality of repairs.

Renumbers points 7 and 8 of the motion accordingly.

- moved by Councillor Campbell, seconded by Councillor Kumar

Amendment 2

Replace 4) in the motion by Councillor Meagher with:

“Agrees that given the above, and in the context of the Cost of Living Crisis and the Climate Crisis, that the planned consultation with council tenants should be refocused on tenant's main financial challenges in relation to that crisis, which includes rent, energy, effective insulation and food, and that Living Rent members be included in the engagement exercise, the results of which can inform the Edinburgh Partnership and Poverty Commission.”

Replace 5) in the motion with:

“Requests a report to next Housing Homelessness and Fair Work Committee on the

implications of a rent freeze for council tenants in 2023/24, and the subsequent impact of this freeze on the HRA across 2023/24, 2024/25, 2025/26.”

- moved by Councillor Rae, seconded by Councillor Parker

Amendment 3

To delete:

Paragraph 7 of the motion by Councillor Meagher; and

The reference to the UK Government in Paragraph 8 as Housing is a wholly devolved matter.

- moved by Councillor Bruce, seconded by Councillor Mowat

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendment 1 was accepted as an addendum to the motion and Amendment 2 was accepted as an amendment to the motion.

Voting

The voting was as follows:

For the motion (as adjusted)	-	40 votes
For Amendment 3	-	7 votes

(For the motion (as adjusted): Lord Provost, Councillors Arthur, Aston, Burgess, Caldwell, Cameron, Campbell, Davidson, Day, Dijkstra-Downie, Dobbin, Faccenda, Flannery, Fullerton, Gardiner, Glasgow, Griffiths, Heap, Jenkinson, Kumar, Macinnes, Mattos Coelho, McFarlane, McNeese-Meechan, McVey, Meagher, Mumford, Nicolson, Osler, Parker, Pogson, Rae, Frank Ross, Neil Ross, Staniforth, Walker, Watt, Work, Young and Younie

For the amendment (as adjusted): Councillors Bruce, Cowdy, Doggart, Mitchell, Mowat, Munro and Rust.)

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Meagher:

- 1) To note the Scottish Government’s intention to introduce emergency legislation which froze rents for private and social rented homes until at least the end of March 2023.
- 2) To note that planned consultation on rent policy with council tenants was imminent, with any agreed rent increase due to come into place on April 1st, 2023.

- 3) To note that council rents in Edinburgh remained the highest in Scotland.
- 4) To agree that given the above, and in the context of the Cost of Living Crisis and the Climate Crisis, that the planned consultation with council tenants should be refocused on tenant's main financial challenges in relation to that crisis, which included rent, energy, effective insulation and food, and that Living Rent members be included in the planned engagement the results of which could inform the Edinburgh Partnership and Poverty Commission.
- 5) To request a report to next Housing Homelessness and Fair Work Committee on the implications of a rent freeze for council tenants in 2023/24, and the subsequent impact of this freeze on the HRA across 2023/24, 2024/25, 2025/26.
- 6) To request a report to the next Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee on the implications of a rent freeze for Edinburgh Living tenants in 2023/24.
- 7) To note that detailed information on the financial status of the HRA had not been shared outwith the administration.
- 8) To agree that that the reports outlined in points 5 and 6 include detailed information setting out the administration's financial strategy that would ensure:
 - The council housebuilding programme continued as agreed in February 2022, with no reduction in the £1.2bn committed to new homes development and costs up until 2027.
 - That the EESSH 2 targets were met, retrofitting existing council homes to high energy efficiency standards and there was no delay in delivery of this programme in full by 2032.
 - That there would continue to be capacity to invest in the repairs service, improving service standards and the quality of repairs.
- 9) To agree that the Council Leader would write to the Scottish Government requesting that the rent freeze across private and social rented homes was maintained until rent controls were in place.
- 10) To agree that the Council Leader would write to the Scottish and UK Governments, detailing the scale of Edinburgh's housing crisis, and requesting both emergency and long-term funding to allow the council to purchase and build more homes for social rent.

Declaration of Interests

Councillors Beal, Booth, Dixon, Jones, Key, Miller and Whyte declared a financial interest as landlords of rented accommodation and left the meeting during the Council's consideration of the above item.

Councillors Bandel, Biagi, Dalgleish, Hyslop, McKenzie and Thornley declared a financial interest as tenants in rented accommodation and left the meeting during the Council's consideration of the above item.

Councillor Rae made transparency statement as a member of Living Rent.

Councillor Thornley made transparency statement as a tenant of Edinburgh Living.

5 Minutes

Decision

To approve the minute of the Council of 25 August 2022 as a correct record.

6 Leader's Report

The Leader presented his report to the Council. He commented on:

- Thanks to all involved in Operation Unicorn
- Ukrainian refugees - update
- Scottish Government plans for Tourist Levy – thanks to Councillor McVey and former Councillor Burns for work involved

The following questions/comments were made:

- | | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Councillor McVey | - Ukrainian refugees |
| | - Thanks to volunteers, staff and citizens during the past 2 weeks |
| | - Plans for tourist tax – implementation of the policy as approved |
| Councillor Young | - Thanks to volunteers during past 2 weeks |
| | - Work to continue to ensure the city remains clean |
| Councillor Staniforth | - Protection of freedom of protest in Edinburgh |
| Councillor Whyte | - Liverpool City group buying scheme for solar panels – possible implementation in Edinburgh |

Councillor Faccenda	-	Costs of Operation Unicorn and effects across the City
Councillor Work	-	Macrae's Battalion Contalmaison – Council representation
Councillor Younie	-	Ukrainian Refugees – additional funding
Councillor Mumford	-	Old Tynecastle High School – Student accommodation – city plan delay
Councillor Doggart	-	Redress scheme – compensation for those who suffered abuse in the workplace
Councillor Arthur	-	Poverty Commission Report - Scottish Government inaction on tackling long term causes of poverty
Councillor Campbell	-	Macrae's Battalion commemoration – funding
Councillor Osler	-	Drylaw Neighbourhood Centre - award of funds
Councillor Parker	-	Operation Unicorn - Council officers working 18 hours per day
Councillor Miller	-	World car free day – events on 6 October 2022
Councillor Bruce	-	Blocked gully clearing

7 Appointment to Committees, Boards and Outside Organisations etc

The Council had made appointments to a range of Committees and Outside Organisations. Details were provided on outstanding appointments and associated matters.

The Council was invited to confirm its outstanding appointments to Committees and Outside Organisations.

Decision

- 1) To appoint Councillor Doggart to the Board of CEC Holdings.
- 2) To note that the Green Group had appointed Councillors Alys Mumford and Ben Parker as Co-Conveners, and agree that Councillor Mumford should receive the Group Leader's Senior Councillor Allowance from 22 September

2022 to 5 February 2023 and Councillor Ben Parker from 6 February to 22 June 2023.

(Reference – Act of Council No 8 of 19 May 2022 (resumed on 26 May 2022), Act of Council No 7 of 30 June 2022; report by the Interim Executive Director of Corporate Services, submitted.)

8 Provision of Sanitary Bins in Council Buildings - Response to Motion by Councillor Staniforth

In response to a motion by Councillor Staniforth, details were provided on how the Council could meet the commitment made in June 2022 to install sanitary bins in every toilet cubicle on all Council premises

Motion

- 1) To note the information provided on the commitment to install sanitary bins in every toilet cubicle in all Council premises.
- 2) To note that the provision of additional sanitary bins would require an annual revenue budget of between £25,000 and £30,000 which was not currently available and would need to be considered as part of the 2023/24 budget setting process.

- moved by Councillor Day, seconded by Councillor Watt

Amendment

- 1) To note the information provided on the commitment to install sanitary bins in every toilet cubicle in all Council premises.
- 2) To note that the provision of additional sanitary bins would require an annual revenue budget of between £25,000 and £30,000 which was not currently available and would need to be considered as part of the 2023/24 budget setting process.
- 3) To agree to proceed with the placement and servicing of sanitary bins in men's toilets across its estate, subject to funding being identified as part of the 2023/24 budget considerations.

- moved by Councillor Staniforth, seconded by Councillor Davidson

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), the amendment was accepted as an addendum to the motion.

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Day:

- 1) To note the information provided on the commitment to install sanitary bins in every toilet cubicle in all Council premises.
- 2) To note that the provision of additional sanitary bins would require an annual revenue budget of between £25,000 and £30,000 which was not currently available and would need to be considered as part of the 2023/24 budget setting process.
- 3) To agree to proceed with the placement and servicing of sanitary bins in men's toilets across its estate, subject to funding being identified as part of the 2023/24 budget considerations.

(References – Act of Council No 18 of 30 June 2022; report by the Executive Director of Place, submitted.)

9 Young People's Assembly

In response to a motion by Councillor Macinnes, details were provided on the mechanisms in place and being planned which could be developed to promote the participation of young people in the city and their ability to influence decisions that affected them.

Motion

- 1) To note the range of youth participation work.
- 2) To instruct officers to continue with planned developments, incorporating feedback from third sector providers, elected members and young people.

- moved by Councillor Griffiths, seconded by Councillor Walker

Amendment 1

- 1) Note and commends the range of youth participation work already being undertaken, described in the report.
- 2) To instruct officers to continue with planned developments, incorporating feedback from third sector providers, elected members and young people.
- 3) Instructs officers to bring forward a report within two cycles which meets the intention of the original motion, which was to create an effective forum for wide range of young voices to be heard on a wide range of Council/city topics, where policy proposals could be tested through the lens of young people's

wants and needs, where young people could bring ideas and proposals and where their opinions about the direction of the city could be heard by officers and decision-makers and absorbed into policy development.

*“Requests a report within 2 cycles on how we can quickly set an effective Young Person’s Assembly in motion; what its objectives and parameters could be; how the Assembly should be structured to ensure equality in representation from all communities and a clear commitment to establishing a long term focus on young people’s needs and requests through this forum.”
Motion, June 2022.”*

- moved by Councillor Macinnes, seconded by Councillor Frank Ross

Amendment 2

- 1) To note the range of youth participation work.
- 2) To instruct officers to continue with planned developments, incorporating feedback from third sector providers, elected members and young people.
- 3) To instruct officers to develop a proposal on how to provide a single forum and engagement process for Committees and Boards, to involve young people in the development of policy making on key issues, either through an existing group as detailed in the report, or through any new mechanism that is established.

- moved by Councillor Young, seconded by Councillor Davidson

Amendment 3

- 1) To note the range of youth participation work.
- 2) Notes that early involvement of participants in the design of participatory forums improves buy-in and trust in the process.
- 3) Requests officers to involve potential participants of the Young People’s Liaison Group in the design of the group, including but not limited to its membership, purpose, and scope, and provide a written update on the group’s development within two cycles.

- moved by Councillor Bandel, seconded by Councillor Parker

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendments 1 and 2 were accepted as addendums to the motion and Amendment 3 was adjusted and accepted as an addendum to the motion.

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Griffiths:

- 1) To note and commend the range of youth participation work already being undertaken, described in the report by the Executive Director of Education and Children's Services.
- 2) To instruct officers to continue with planned developments, incorporating feedback from third sector providers, elected members and young people.
- 3) To instruct officers to bring forward a report within two cycles which met the intention of the original motion, which was to create an effective forum for wide range of young voices to be heard on a wide range of Council/city topics, where policy proposals could be tested through the lens of young people's wants and needs, where young people could bring ideas and proposals and where their opinions about the direction of the city could be heard by officers and decision-makers and absorbed into policy development.

*“Requests a report within 2 cycles on how we can quickly set an effective Young Person's Assembly in motion; what its objectives and parameters could be; how the Assembly should be structured to ensure equality in representation from all communities and a clear commitment to establishing a long term focus on young people's needs and requests through this forum.”
Motion, June 2022.”*

- 4) To instruct officers to develop a proposal on how to provide a single forum and engagement process for Committees and Boards, to involve young people in the development of policy making on key issues, either through an existing group as detailed in the report, or through any new mechanism that was established.
- 5) To note that early involvement of participants in the design of participatory forums improves buy-in and trust in the process.
- 6) To request officers to involve potential participants of the Young People's Liaison Group and Young People's Assembly in the design of the group, including but not limited to its membership, purpose, and scope, and provide a written update on the group's development within two cycles.

(References – Act of Council No 26 of 30 June 2022; report by the Executive Director of Education and Children's Services, submitted.)

10 Annual Performance Report, 2021/22 – referral from the Policy and Sustainability Committee

The Policy and Sustainability Committee had referred a report on the Annual Performance Report, 2021/22 to the City of Edinburgh Council for consideration.

Decision

To note the report by the Policy and Sustainability Committee.

(References – Policy and Sustainability Committee, 30 August 2022 (item 10); referral from the Policy and Sustainability Committee, submitted.)

11 Treasury Management: Annual Report 2021/22 – referral from the Finance and Resources Committee

The Finance and Resources Committee had referred the Treasury Management: Annual Report 2021/22 to the City of Edinburgh Council for approval.

Decision

To approve the report by the Finance and Resources Committee.

(References – Finance and Resources Committee, 8 September 2022 (item 6); referral from the Finance and Resources Committee, submitted.)

12 Edinburgh Living LLPs: Acquisition of Homes 2022/2023 – referral from the Finance and Resources Committee

The Finance and Resources Committee had referred a report on the Edinburgh Living LLPs: Acquisition of Homes 2022/2023 Unit to the City of Edinburgh Council for approval of the recommendations at paragraph 1.1.4 of the original report by the Executive Director of Place (appendix 1 of the referral report).

Decision

- 1) To agree to make available up to £4.9m from the Council Tax Discount Fund (CTDF) to support the purchase of homes at Fruitmarket at Market Value.
- 2) To agree to lend to the mid-market rent LLP to enable the purchase of all 94 homes.

- 3) To agree to provide corresponding capital advances from the Loans Fund based on a repayment profile using the funding/ income method, as set out in Appendix 1 to the report by the Executive Director of Place.

(References – Finance and Resources Committee, 8 September 2022 (item 18); referral from the Finance and Resources Committee, submitted.)

13 Millerhill Energy from Waste Plant Heat Offtake Unit – referral from the Finance and Resources Committee

The Finance and Resources Committee had referred a report on the Millerhill Energy from Waste Plant Heat Offtake Unit to the City of Edinburgh Council for approval of prudential borrowing totalling £5,200,00 to pay for addition of plant/equipment at the Millerhill Energy from Waste facility that would enable the facility to provide heat to the Midlothian Energy Heat Network.

Motion

To approve to prudential borrowing totalling £5,200,00 to pay for addition of plant/equipment at the Millerhill Energy from Waste facility that would enable the facility to provide heat to the Midlothian Energy Heat Network.

- moved by Councillor Watt, seconded by Councillor Griffiths

Amendment

- 1) To approve to prudential borrowing totalling £5,200,00 to pay for addition of plant/equipment at the Millerhill Energy from Waste facility that would enable the facility to provide heat to the Midlothian Energy Heat Network.
- 2) Notes that the council's waste reduction target, set in 2010, is for 5% of waste to be sent to landfill.
- 3) Notes that those reduction targets and the associated paper do not refer to or classify waste sent to Millerhill or incinerators more generally.
- 4) Agrees that for the purposes of waste reduction targets waste sent for incineration will be counted as landfill.

- moved by Councillor Staniforth, seconded by Councillor Mumford

Voting

The voting was as follows:

For the motion	-	51 votes
For the amendment	-	9 votes

(For the motion: Lord Provost, Councillors Arthur, Aston, Bandel, Beal, Biagi, Booth, Burgess, Caldwell, Cameron, Campbell, Dalglish, Davidson, Day, Dijkstra-Downie, Dixon, Dobbin, Faccenda, Flannery, Fullerton, Gardiner, Glasgow, Griffiths, Heap, Hyslop, Jenkinson, Key, Kumar, Macinnes, Mattos Coelho, McFarlane, McKenzie, McNeese-Meechan, McVey, Meagher, Miller, Mumford, Nicolson, Osler, Parker, Pogson, Rae, Frank Ross, Neil Ross, Staniforth, Thornley, Walker, Watt, Work, Young and Younie.

For the amendment: Councillors Bruce, Cowdy, Doggart, Jones, Mitchell, Mowat, Munro, Rust and Whyte.)

Decision

To approve the motion by Councillor Watt.

(References – Finance and Resources Committee, 8 September 2022 (item 20); referral from the Finance and Resources Committee, submitted.)

14 Proposed Changes to Charging Mechanism for Road Construction Consent Inspections – referral from the Transport and Environment Committee

The Transport and Environment Committee had referred a report on the Proposed Changes to Charging Mechanism for Road Construction Consent Inspections to the City of Edinburgh Council for approval of the amendment to current fees and charges.

Decision

To approve the amendment to current fees and charges as detailed in the report by the Executive Director of Place.

(References – Transport and Environment Committee, 18 August 2022 (adjourned to 1 September 2022) (item 10); referral from the Transport and Environment Committee, submitted.)

15 Strategic Review of Parking – Results of Advertising of Phase 1 Traffic Order – referral from the Transport and Environment Committee

The Transport and Environment Committee had referred a report on the Strategic Review of Parking – Results of Phase 1 Traffic Order to the City of Edinburgh Council for approval to the amendment to the advertised charges.

Decision

To approve the amendment to the advertised charges as detailed in the report on the Strategic Review of Parking – Results of Phase 1 Traffic Order.

(References – Transport and Environment Committee, 18 August 2022 (adjourned to 1 September 2022) (item 12); referral from the Transport and Environment Committee, submitted.)

16 Safer Parks - Motions by Councillor Hyslop

The following motion by Councillor Hyslop was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council:

Believes that our parks should be safe public spaces for all to enjoy, and that the presence of cars and large vehicles is not consistent with that.

Notes that Council waste teams often have cause to drive through parks with larger vehicles.

Notes that many of Edinburgh’s Parks have vehicle access points that are not locked by the Council.

Believes that the safety of park users should be of utmost importance.

Notes instances across the city where park users and Friends of Parks groups have reported private cars driving along paths and green space through parks.

Requests that the Council produce a report which outlines current vehicular access into all of the City’s parks and examines how best to prevent vehicular access to each of these spaces. This report should also include detail on the cost and feasibility of implementing the following:

- 1) all park gates being padlocked where possible:
- 2) collapsible bollards installed where necessary, accessible only by Council vehicles and agreed third parties; and
- 3) the use of Council vehicles over 1.3 tonnes within parks being prohibited and replaced by a fleet of light-weight electric vehicles for use within parks across the city.

Furthermore, requests that the council produces a report outlining existing powers and a strategy for enforcement which can used to prevent and penalise unauthorised parking in public parks.”

Motion

To approve the motion by Councillor Hyslop

- moved by Councillor Hyslop, seconded by Councillor McNeese-Mechan

Amendment 1

- 1) After 'best' at paragraph 6 in the motion by Councillor Hyslop add 'where appropriate'
- 2) Replace bullet point 3 in the motion with 'the limited use of council vehicles over 1.3 tonnes where essential for the maintenance of our parks, such as tractors for grass cutting, tree team vehicles and street lighting access platforms'.
- 3) After 'powers' at the last paragraph in the motion, add 'and any available enforcement powers that could be used to act against the drivers or owners of vehicles that access our parks and green spaces'.
- 4) Adds to the motion 'this report should come to the Culture and Communities Committee within two cycles (December 2022)'.

- moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Cameron

Amendment 2

Removes final point of the motion by Councillor Hyslop at "Furthermore, requests..." and inserts:

"Council notes that there are specific parks and areas within them where instance of unauthorised parking is especially high, and the corresponding dangers to safety and accessibility.

Council requests a further report including but not limited to, the following;

- What powers are available to the Council to enforce parking restrictions within parks.
- What powers the Council currently uses to enforce parking restrictions within parks
- What, if any, additional powers would the Council need to improve enforcement of parking restrictions within parks

- A strategy for the enforcement of existing parking restrictions, including tackling specific parks where the instance of unauthorised parking is particularly high
- Outlining any options to alter existing restrictions in these high-pressure areas in order to better prevent unauthorised parking
- Plans to engage with ‘Friends of...’ groups and/or relevant community groups in these high-pressure areas to develop the above options

Both reports to be brought to Culture and Communities Committee within two cycles.”

- moved by Councillor Thornley, seconded by Councillor Osler

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendment 2 was accepted as an amendment to the motion.

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendment 2 was accepted as an addendum to Amendment 1.

Voting

The voting was as follows:

For the Motion (as adjusted)	-	28 votes
For Amendment 1 (as adjusted)	-	32 votes

(For the Motion (as adjusted): Councillors Aston, Bandel, Biagi, Booth, Burgess, Campbell, Dixon, Dobbin, Fullerton, Gardiner, Glasgow, Heap, Hyslop, Key, Kumar, Macinnes, Mattos Coelho, McFarlane, McNeese-Meechan, McVey, Miller, Mumford, Nicolson, Parker, Rae, Frank Ross, Staniforth and Work

For Amendment 1 (as adjusted): Lord Provost, Councillors. Arthur, Beal, Bruce, Caldwell, Cameron, Cowdy, Dalgleish, Davidson, Day, Dijkstra-Downie, Duggart, Faccenda, Flannery, Griffiths, Jenkinson, Jones, McKenzie, Meagher, Mitchell, Mowat, Munro, Osler, Pogson, Neil Ross, Rust, Thornley, Walker, Watt, Whyte, Young and Younie.)

Decision

To approve the following adjusted Amendment 1 by Councillor Walker:

- 1) To believes that our parks should be safe public spaces for all to enjoy, and that the presence of cars and large vehicles was not consistent with that.

- 2) To note that Council waste teams often had cause to drive through parks with larger vehicles.
- 3) To note that many of Edinburgh's Parks had vehicle access points that were not locked by the Council.
- 4) To believe that the safety of park users should be of utmost importance.
- 5) To note instances across the city where park users and Friends of Parks groups had reported private cars driving along paths and green space through parks.
- 6) To request that the Council produce a report which outlined current vehicular access into all of the City's parks and examines how best where appropriate to prevent vehicular access to each of these spaces. This report should also include detail on the cost and feasibility of implementing the following:
 - a) all park gates being padlocked where possible:
 - b) collapsible bollards installed where necessary, accessible only by Council vehicles and agreed third parties; and
 - c) the limited use of council vehicles over 1.3 tonnes where essential for the maintenance of our parks, such as tractors for grass cutting, tree team vehicles and street lighting access platforms'.
- 7) Furthermore, to request that the council produce a report outlining existing powers and any available enforcement powers that could be used to act against the drivers or owners of vehicles that access our parks and green spaces' and a strategy for enforcement which can used to prevent and penalise unauthorised parking in public parks, this report should come to the Culture and Communities Committee within two cycles (December 2022)
- 8) To note that there were specific parks and areas within them where instance of unauthorised parking was especially high, and the corresponding dangers to safety and accessibility.
- 9) To request a further report including but not limited to, the following;
 - What powers were available to the Council to enforce parking restrictions within parks.
 - What powers the Council currently used to enforce parking restrictions within parks
 - What, if any, additional powers would the Council need to improve enforcement of parking restrictions within parks

- A strategy for the enforcement of existing parking restrictions, including tackling specific parks where the instance of unauthorised parking was particularly high
- Outlining any options to alter existing restrictions in these high-pressure areas in order to better prevent unauthorised parking
- Plans to engage with ‘Friends of...’ groups and/or relevant community groups in these high-pressure areas to develop the above options.

Both reports to be brought to Culture and Communities Committee within two cycles.

17 Electric Vehicle Charging Points - Motion by Councillor Neil Ross

The following motion by Councillor Neil Ross was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

- “1) Notes that the way people, goods and services are moved around the city accounted for 31% of the city’s total emissions in 2020, according to the 2030 Climate Strategy.
- 2) notes the recognition within the City Mobility Plan on the importance of publicly available electric vehicle charging points towards meeting the Council’s transport goals and the commitment within the plan to create a comprehensive network of electric charging hubs.
- 3) agrees that publicly available electric vehicle charging points have an important role in reducing air pollution and facilitating the transition to net zero by encouraging residents and businesses to replace fossil fuel vehicles with electric vehicles, as noted in the 2030 Climate Strategy.
- 4) Notes and reaffirms the council’s existing policy to place EV charging units on the road and not the pavement.
- 5) notes that while the Council’s website hosts a link to the Charge Place Scotland map of publicly available electric vehicle charging points, it does not provide a clear process for people to suggest or request new electric vehicle charging point locations.
- 6) agrees that officers should follow the example of the Cyclehoop scheme, where residents are able to suggest new locations, and
 - a) create a facility to allow residents and businesses to suggest new sites for public electric vehicle charging points;

- b) use the suggestions received to determine the locations of future charging points; and
- c) report to the Transport & Environment Committee within two cycles.”

Motion

To approve the motion by Councillor Neil Ross.

- moved by Councillor Neil Ross, seconded by Councillor Osler

Amendment 1

Replace Point 6 in the motion by Councillor Neil Ross with:

“Agrees that officers should consider following the example of the Cycle hoop scheme, where residents are able to suggest new locations, and report to the Transport & Environment Committee within two cycles (December 2022) on the feasibility of:

- a) creating a facility to allow residents and businesses to suggest new sites for public electric vehicle charging points; and,
- b) using the suggestions received as part of the information used to determine the locations of future charging points”.

- moved by Councillor Arthur, seconded by Councillor Cameron

Amendment 2

To add to the motion by Councillor Neil Ross:

- “7) Understands the unique challenges and opportunities of Electric Vehicle Charging Point rollout in the UNESCO World Heritage site, and
- Notes that we may be able to learn from the City of Bath and other UNESCO cities who have already undertaken an EV scheme as detailed in the Bath Electric Charging Point Strategy.
 - Requests officers engage with heritage bodies and investigate sensitive solutions ahead of any future Electric Vehicle Charging Point rollouts in the Old or New Town.
 - Understands that this learning could also inform sensitive design solutions for other conservation areas in the City.

- Requests a report to be produced detailing potential options for future implementations to be presented to the Transport and Environment Committee in three cycles.”

- moved by Councillor McFarlane, seconded by Councillor Nicolson

Amendment 3

- 1) To add a new paragraph 4 to the motion by Councillor Neil Ross and renumber existing paragraphs accordingly:
 - “4) Notes that electric vehicles continue to contribute to congestion and recognises the need to reduce private car use and shift to alternative modes of travel more effective at reducing transport emissions such as walking, wheeling, and cycling.”
- 2) To add new paragraphs 6 and 7 to the motion and renumber existing paragraphs accordingly:
 - “6) Notes that placement by EV users of charging cables across pavements is not acceptable since it creates a trip hazard and is not permitted under the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984, Part V Roads and Building Control and VII Interference and Damage without the permission of the roads authority.
 - 7) Notes the trial of various on-street charging technologies undertaken by Oxford City Council, Nottingham City Council and others, which trialled the installation of pavement cable channels to allow residents to charge an electrical vehicle using their household's electricity supply without creating a trip hazard.”
- 3) To add a new sub-paragraph 8 c) to the motion and renumber existing sub-paragraphs accordingly:
 - "8 c) ensure a robust enforcement regime to prevent the ad-hoc placement of charging wires across pavements to create a trip hazard, and investigate, in consultation with organisations representing pedestrians and disabled people, the feasibility of introducing a chargeable scheme to install pavement channels for charging cables;"

- moved by Councillor Bandel, seconded by Councillor Booth

Amendment 4

- 1) To add after point 4) of the motion by Councillor Neil Ross and re-number accordingly:

“Notes that there is a demand for electric vehicle charging points amongst city centre residents with access to shared gardens for communal use;

- 2) To add after letter b) in the motion and re-letter accordingly:

“Provide information on how residents could install communal electric charging or publicly accessible electric charging points on the edges of shared gardens, to include any funding streams and necessary regulatory permissions required;”

- moved by Councillor Mowat, seconded by Councillor Mitchell

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendment 1 was accepted as an amendment to the motion and Amendments, 2, 3 and 4 were accepted as addendums to the motion.

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Neil Ross

- 1) To note that the way people, goods and services were moved around the city accounted for 31% of the city’s total emissions in 2020, according to the 2030 Climate Strategy.
- 2) To note the recognition within the City Mobility Plan on the importance of publicly available electric vehicle charging points towards meeting the Council’s transport goals and the commitment within the plan to create a comprehensive network of electric charging hubs.
- 3) To agree that publicly available electric vehicle charging points had an important role in reducing air pollution and facilitating the transition to net zero by encouraging residents and businesses to replace fossil fuel vehicles with electric vehicles, as noted in the 2030 Climate Strategy.
- 4) To note and reaffirm the council’s existing policy to place EV charging units on the road and not the pavement.
- 5) To note that there was a demand for electric vehicle charging points amongst city centre residents with access to shared gardens for communal use.
- 6) To note that electric vehicles continued to contribute to congestion and recognise the need to reduce private car use and shift to alternative modes of travel more effective at reducing transport emissions such as walking, wheeling, and cycling.
- 7) To note that placement by EV users of charging cables across pavements was not acceptable since it created a trip hazard and was not permitted under

the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984, Part V Roads and Building Control and VII Interference and Damage without the permission of the roads authority.

- 8) To note the trial of various on-street charging technologies undertaken by Oxford City Council, Nottingham City Council and others, which trialled the installation of pavement cable channels to allow residents to charge an electrical vehicle using their household's electricity supply without creating a trip hazard.
- 9) To note that while the Council's website hosted a link to the Charge Place Scotland map of publicly available electric vehicle charging points, it did not provide a clear process for people to suggest or request new electric vehicle charging point locations.
- 10) To agree that officers should consider following the example of the Cycle hoop scheme, where residents were able to suggest new locations, and report to the Transport and Environment Committee within two cycles (December 2022) on the feasibility of:
 - a) creating a facility to allow residents and businesses to suggest new sites for public electric vehicle charging points;
 - b) using the suggestions received as part of the information used to determine the locations of future charging points; and
 - c) provide information on how residents could install communal electric charging or publicly accessible electric charging points on the edges of shared gardens, to include any funding streams and necessary regulatory permissions required.
- 11) To understand the unique challenges and opportunities of Electric Vehicle Charging Point rollout in the UNESCO World Heritage site, and
 - To note that we may be able to learn from the City of Bath and other UNESCO cities who had already undertaken an EV scheme as detailed in the Bath Electric Charging Point Strategy.
 - To request officers engage with heritage bodies and investigate sensitive solutions ahead of any future Electric Vehicle Charging Point rollouts in the Old or New Town.
 - To understand that this learning could also inform sensitive design solutions for other conservation areas in the City.

To request a report to be produced detailing potential options for future implementations to be presented to the Transport and Environment Committee in three cycles.

18 Playparks Investment - Motion by Councillor Thornley Campbell

The following motion by Councillor Thornley was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council:

- 1) Agrees that playparks are a vital community resource providing opportunities for exercise and socialisation, and that it is important that playparks are fit for purpose and accessible to all.
- 2) Notes that Council currently has responsibility for 165 playparks across the city, and that as of the Council’s meeting of 27th May 2021, only approximately 100 of them had surfaces suitable for wheelchair access.
- 3) Notes the commitment of funding from the Scottish Government to upgrade playparks, and that in the 2022/23 this will amount to £406,000, plus any unspent funds from the 2021/22 year.
- 4) Notes that Council does not currently receive a regular update on the state of playparks across the city, what investment is required and what works are planned.
- 5) Requests a report to Culture & Communities Committee, within two cycles, containing but not exclusive to:
 - a) The criteria against which playparks are judged when considering them for refurbishment, and how that affects prioritising locations for work.
 - b) Details of which playparks are currently considered most in need of refurbishment, and which playparks are being prioritised for repair over the next three years.
 - c) Which playparks are currently accessible including but not exclusive to; wheelchair accessible surfaces, adjacent disabled parking access and accessible play equipment.”

Motion

To approve the motion by Councillor Thornley

- moved by Councillor Thornley, seconded by Councillor Osler

Amendment 1

- In 5 (a) in the motion by Councillor Thornley, after *work*, delete full stop
- Thereafter add “, *including information on whether areas with high levels of deprivation are prioritised for new playparks currently and, if not, how this may be done.*”

- moved by Councillor Heap seconded by Councillor Staniforth

In accordance with Standing Order 21(12), the amendment was accepted as an addendum to the motion.

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Thornley:

- 1) To agree that playparks were a vital community resource providing opportunities for exercise and socialisation, and that it was important that playparks were fit for purpose and accessible to all.
- 2) To note that Council currently had responsibility for 165 playparks across the city, and that as of the Council’s meeting of 27th May 2021, only approximately 100 of them had surfaces suitable for wheelchair access.
- 3) To note the commitment of funding from the Scottish Government to upgrade playparks, and that in the 2022/23 this would amount to £406,000, plus any unspent funds from the 2021/22 year.
- 4) To note that Council did not currently receive a regular update on the state of playparks across the city, what investment was required and what works were planned.
- 5) To request a report to Culture and Communities Committee, within two cycles, containing but not exclusive to:
 - a) The criteria against which playparks were judged when considering them for refurbishment, and how that affected prioritising locations for work, including information on whether areas with high levels of deprivation were prioritised for new playparks currently and, if not, how this might be done.
 - b) Details of which playparks were currently considered most in need of refurbishment, and which playparks were being prioritised for repair over the next three years.

- c) Which playparks were currently accessible including but not exclusive to; wheelchair accessible surfaces, adjacent disabled parking access and accessible play equipment.

19 Transient Visitor Levy - Motion by Councillor Day

The following motion by Councillor Day was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council notes at long last the Scottish Government will legislate for a transient visitor levy.

Edinburgh welcomes visitors and is a gateway for tourism that contributes to Edinburgh and Scotland’s hospitality sector and wider economy. Sector statistics say that it supports around 30,000 local jobs, with overnight visitors spending over £1.9bn a year in the city. Sustaining this level of activity, whilst balancing the needs of residents and visitors, requires investment in services and infrastructure. A well designed, progressive visitor levy could generate over £15m which would contribute towards those costs.

Given the Council is facing continued cuts from the SNP/ Green Scottish Government, Council agrees the Council Leader should write to the Scottish government to make clear that this funding must be additional to base grants and brought forward at the earliest opportunity.

Council agrees officers will engage with Scottish Government officials and provide an update at the Policy and Sustainability Committee at its meeting on 1 November 2022 including a timeline of the process.”

Motion

To approve the motion by Councillor Day

- moved by Councillor Day, seconded by Councillor Watt

Amendment 1

Deletes all but the last paragraph of the motion by Councillor Day and replaces with:

Council welcomes the Scottish Government announcement, which was postponed due to COVID, to complete the legislative process for enabling powers to allow Edinburgh to progress a Transient Visitor Levy.

Edinburgh welcomes visitors and is a gateway for tourism that contributes to Edinburgh and Scotland’s hospitality sector and wider economy. Noting the sector supports around 30,000 local jobs, with overnight visitors spending over £1.9bn a

year in the city. Further notes sustaining this level of activity, whilst balancing the needs of residents and visitors, requires investment in services and infrastructure.

Council Notes Edinburgh has designed a robust, progressive visitor levy which is supported by more than 90% of residents and which was developed in partnership with the sector which could generate around £15m to contribute towards ongoing costs and help invest in the future success of Edinburgh

Council notes the Public Finance Minister Tom Arthur as recently as last week confirmed that funding from discretionary taxation like TVL is additional finance to all funding formula calculations saying: "The levy is not intended to act as a substitute for local government funding or the annual budget process through which the local government settlement is determined."

Agrees the Council Leader should write to the Scottish Government to underline that these powers should be brought forward at the earliest opportunity to allow Edinburgh to progress with its well-established plans. Further agrees this will seek clarity from the Government on whether the planned areas of investment and spend developed in Edinburgh's plans will be within the scope of the new legislation.

- moved by Councillor McVey, seconded by Councillor McFarlane

Amendment 2

Replace in the motion by Councillor Day:

"Given the Council is facing continued cuts from the SNP/ Green Scottish Government, Council agrees the Council Leader should write to the Scottish government to make clear that this funding must be additional to base grants and brought forward at the earliest opportunity.

Council agrees officers will engage with Scottish Government officials and provide an update at the Policy and Sustainability Committee at its meeting on 1 November 2022 including a timeline of the process."

With:

"Given the Council is likely to face continued real-term cuts following the UK Government's budget review and subsequent Scottish Government budget statement, Council agrees the Council Leader should write to the Scottish Government to make clear that this funding must be additional to base grants and brought forward at the earliest opportunity.

Council agrees officers will engage with Scottish Government officials and provide an update at the Policy and Sustainability Committee at its meeting on 1 November 2022 including a timeline of the process.

Council further agrees that this report should contain details about the potential revenue raised through using this scheme to its fullest extent, and outline what steps will be needed to ensure that the Council is in a position to initiate a scheme and collect income once legislation is in place.”

- moved by Councillor Mumford, seconded by Councillor Staniforth

Amendment 3

Replace the third paragraph of the motion by Councillor Day with:

Given the Council is facing continued cuts from the SNP/ Green Scottish Government, Council agrees the Council Leader should write to the Scottish government to make clear that, should the Scottish Government legislate to introduce a TVL and a majority in the council agrees to progress it, the Scottish Government and the Council should agree that:

- this funding must be additional to base grants;
- that the principle of additionality of funding should remain in perpetuity; and

that the funding should be used to demonstrably improve core services throughout the City and not solely for services that benefit tourism, marketing, or areas frequented by high volumes of visitors.

- moved by Councillor Whyte, seconded by Councillor Doggart

Amendment 4

To add to the end of paragraph 4 of Amendment 1 by Councillor McVey:

“and agrees that the Scottish Government must stay true to this principle as final powers are implemented.”

- moved by Councillor Young, seconded by Councillor Dijkstra-Downie

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendment 1 as adjusted by Amendment 4, Amendment 2 as adjusted and Amendment 3 in full were accepted as amendments to the motion.

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendment 2 (as adjusted) and the whole of Amendment 4 were accepted as amendments to Amendment 1.

Voting

The voting was as follows:

For the Motion (as adjusted)	-	32 votes
For Amendment 1 (as adjusted)	-	28 votes

For the Motion (as adjusted): Lord Provost, Councillors. Arthur, Beal, Bruce, Caldwell, Cameron, Cowdy, Dalgleish, Davidson, Day, Dijkstra-Downie, Duggart, Faccenda, Flannery, Griffiths, Jenkinson, Jones, McKenzie, Meagher, Mitchell, Mowat, Munro, Osler, Pogson, Neil Ross, Rust, Thornley, Walker, Watt, Whyte, Young and Younie.

(For Amendment 1 (as adjusted): Councillors Aston, Bandel, Biagi, Booth, Burgess, Campbell, Dixon, Dobbin, Fullerton, Gardiner, Glasgow, Heap, Hyslop, Key, Kumar, Macinnes, Mattos Coelho, McFarlane, McNeese-Meechan, McVey, Miller, Mumford, Nicolson, Parker, Rae, Frank Ross, Staniforth and Work.)

Decision

- 1) To welcome the Scottish Government announcement, which was postponed due to COVID, to complete the legislative process for enabling powers to allow Edinburgh to progress a Transient Visitor Levy.
- 2) To note that Edinburgh welcomed visitors and was a gateway for tourism that contributed to Edinburgh and Scotland's hospitality sector and wider economy. To note the sector supported around 30,000 local jobs, with overnight visitors spending over £1.9bn a year in the city. To further note sustaining this level of activity, whilst balancing the needs of residents and visitors, required investment in services and infrastructure.
- 3) To note Edinburgh had designed a robust, progressive visitor levy which was supported by more than 90% of residents and which was developed in partnership with the sector which could generate around £15m to contribute towards ongoing costs and help invest in the future success of Edinburgh.
- 4) To note the Public Finance Minister Tom Arthur as recently as last week confirmed that funding from discretionary taxation like TVL was additional finance to all funding formula calculations saying: "The levy is not intended to act as a substitute for local government funding or the annual budget process through which the local government settlement is determined." and to agree that the Scottish Government must stay true to this principle as final powers were implemented.
- 5) To agree the Council Leader should write to the Scottish Government to underline that these powers should be brought forward at the earliest

opportunity to allow Edinburgh to progress with its well-established plans. To further agree this would seek clarity from the Government on whether the planned areas of investment and spend developed in Edinburgh's plans would be within the scope of the new legislation.

- 6) To agree officers would engage with Scottish Government officials and provide an update at the Policy and Sustainability Committee at its meeting on 1 November 2022 including a timeline of the process.
- 7) To further agree that this report should contain details about the potential revenue raised through using this scheme to its fullest extent, and outline what steps would be needed to ensure that the Council was in a position to initiate a scheme and collect income once legislation was in place.

20 Bikeability in all Primary Schools - Motion by Councillor Miller

The following motion by Councillor Miller was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council:

- 1) Notes the benefits of cycle training for children and young people in addition to the life skill of cycling such as confidence and health, and recognises the model of Bikeability provision via schools, which Edinburgh has implemented, is considered best practice.
- 2) Notes the availability of free Bikeability training for all schools supported by Cycling Scotland and welcomes the provision of Bikeability by Active Schools across Edinburgh, resulting in Level 1 and Level 2 Bikeability offered in 40% of our primary schools.
- 3) Agrees that access to Bikeability Level 1 and 2 training for all children and young people should be the aspiration.
- 4) Calls for a report to the Education Children and Families Committee within 2 cycles outlining the path to 100% Bikeability for Edinburgh's primary schools, including but not limited to:
 - a) Support for Active Schools to promote Bikeability uptake by schools not currently offering both Level 1 and 2.
 - b) Work with Head Teachers and Active Schools to identify any barriers to uptake and providing recommendations on ways to address these.

- c) Methods for re-communicating the scope and the benefit of the free offer to schools “

- moved by Councillor Miller, seconded by Councillor Burgess

Decision

To approve the motion by Councillor Miller

21 The BIG Project - Motion by Councillor Dixon

The following motion by Councillor Dixon was submitted in terms of Standing Order 17:

“Council recognises and congratulates The BIG Project on its recent awards:

Notes that Sascha Macleod, Director of The BIG Project, won the Lifetime Award and gained Fellowship of Youthlink Scotland in recognition of her hard work and dedication given to the Youth Work sector.

Notes that the awards were hosted and awarded by Youthlink Scotland, the national agency that represents more than 100 youth organisation members across Scotland.

Recognises that The BIG Project which operates in the Broomhouse area of Edinburgh, support children and young people aged five to 18 to "learn, achieve, have fun and feel good about themselves".

Wishes The BIG Project further success in promoting activities and interests targeted at Youth Development.

Notes that Margaret Halkett, volunteer at The BIG Project, won an Inspiring Volunteer award at the Lord Provost of Edinburgh's Award ceremony in summer 2022, for her dedication and passion to Youth Work.

Council agrees to request the Lord Provost celebrate this amazing athlete and world champion in an appropriate manner.”

- moved by the Lord Provost, seconded by Councillor Cameron

Decision

To approve the motion by Councillor Dixon.

22 Questions

The questions put by members to this meeting, written answers and supplementary questions and answers are contained in Appendix 1 to this minute.

Appendix 1

(As referred to in Act of Council No 22 of 22 September 2022)

QUESTION NO 1

By Councillor Younie for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question (1) What action is being taken to reduce the enormous waiting times for young people to receive appointments from Children and Adolescents Mental Health Services (CAMHS)?

Answer (1) CAMHS is an NHS service, the response provided is in relation to what the Council has in place in relation to waiting times.

The City of Edinburgh has put in place a £1.343m budget from the Scottish Government allocated across the four localities to successfully deliver mental health supports to divert children and young people from CAMHS, this should help CAMHS to reduce their waiting lists. Edinburgh is one of the few local authorities in Scotland to also create a profession of School Counsellors based in all 23 secondary schools who deliver services to our young people – to divert away from CAMHS referrals to again allow waiting lists to be addressed by CAMHS. Each secondary school also provided funding for a wellbeing hub.

Every school has also retained their Educational Psychologist with set levels of service, this does not happen in some other authorities. Psychological Services have worked with the NHS to establish Wellbeing Academies in 50 schools. We also deliver support to families via Barnardos, Children 1st and Canongate Youth through a programme called Edinburgh Together.

Question (2) What outsourcing is being utilised to address these unsustainable waiting times?

Answer (2) CAMHS have outsourced part of their waiting list to take forward Autism Diagnoses. Council outsourcing is to Third Sector organisations as detailed above.

Question (3) What support is being provided to care givers and parents to support them alongside those in their care

Answer (3) Edinburgh has established the *We Matter* team to address support for care experienced children and young people. This team has a manager, a psychologist and a teacher working to help the Care Experienced. Work is in progress to commission the services of a psychologist and two trainee psychologists to provide support across our residential estate and foster care services.

Social workers will offer direct support to children and their care givers. They will also link with third sector and voluntary organisations to identify other supports as well as linking with schools.

In situations of significant need we commission trained psychiatric nurses to support parents and children and to also support staff in our residential units and social work teams. We have also commissioned therapeutic supports for specific children on occasions.

We have been working with partner agencies in the four Locality Operational Groups (LOGs) to problem solve and to meet local needs. Since April 2020 they have dealt with 550 individual needs forms. 139 related to Mental health difficulties and 57 related to parents' mental health.

Supplementary Question Thank you for the answer to my question. As a supplementary I'd like to ask has there been a measurement of the impact of the school counsellors based in all the 23 secondary schools upon improving children and adolescent mental health?

Supplementary Answer Thank you for your supplementary, I don't have that information with me but I will get that information to you.

QUESTION NO 2

By Councillor Flannery for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question

Given we are 5 weeks into the new academic year, with regards the placement of Ukrainian Refugee Children can the Convener give figures for:

- a) How many children have been placed with a school, the names of the schools and the numbers each school has taken?
- b) How many are still on the waiting list?
- c) What contingency plans are in place for those waiting and for any future children coming to Edinburgh?

Answer

- a) Total number of children enrolled in schools = 492.

There are 319 children in temporary accommodation so far. This is from the 492 who have enrolled in our schools

SCHOOL	No of Ukrainian CYP enrolled
Abbeyhill PS	1
Balerno Community HS	5
Balgreen PS	2
Bonally PS	1
Boroughmuir HS	1
Broughton PS	7
Bruntsfield PS	3
Carrick Knowe PS	2
Castlebrae Community HS	32
Castleview Primary School	17
Clerminston	3
Colinton	1
Corstorphine	5
Craigentinney	1
Craigentinney EYC	1
Craiglockhart PS	4
Craigmillar EYC	3

Craigmount HS	2
Craigour Park PS	1
Craigroyston CHS	1
Ceamond PS	1
Currie CHS	2
Currie PS	3
Dalmeny PS	1
Dalry PS	5
Davidson's Main PS	3
Dean Park PS	1
Drummond CHS	13
Duddingston PS	3
East Craigs PS	3
Firrhill HS	1
Flora Stevenson PS	2
Fox Covert PS	1
Gracemount PS	2
Granton PS	3
Gylemuir PS	3
Hermitage Park PS	11
James Gillespie PS	7
Juniper Green PS	1
Leith Academy	102
Leith Primary School	10
Leith Walk PS	3
Liberton HS	1
Liberton PS	1
Lorne PS	20
Niddrie Mill PS	1
Oxgangs ELC	2
Oxgangs PS	1
Pentland PS	2
Parsons Green PS	1
Pirniehall PS	1
Portobellos HS	4
Preston Street PS	1
Queensferry Community HS	1
Roseburn PS	5
Royal Mile PS	4
Sciennes PS	5
St Andrew Fox Covert PS	1
St Augustines RC HS	3
St John Vianney PS	4
St Marys RC PS	27
St Peter's PS	7
St Thomas Aquin	2
Stockbridge PS	3
The Royal High School	4
Tollcross PS	2
Towerbank PS	2

Trinity Academy	9
Trinity PS	32
Tynecastle HS	10
Victoria PS	55
Victoria EYC	6
Viewforth EYC	1
TOTAL	492

b) As this figure is not held centrally we have to ask for a return from schools and will provide this snapshot before Council meets.

c) We have expanded the team supporting the Ukrainian children and young people, including more teachers, bilingual support assistants and a Senior Education Officer. Previously we had scoped out additional contingency arrangements such as creating a 'Ukraine School', creating flexible timetables and increased outdoor education. To date we have only taken the outdoor education work stream forward.

We will allocate future placement requests across the city until we are at capacity. We are now centrally enrolling all Ukrainian families by asking them to apply electronically for a school and ELC place. Places will be allocated within 5 days maximum.

Supplementary Question

Yes thank you very much Lord Provost. Just clarification because you mentioned there might be an update on snapshot figures for the waiting list so is there now figures?

Councillor Griffiths

My apologies but I didn't catch what you said.

Councillor Flannery

Right, okay - on b) it's about snapshot figures for the waiting list, so is there an update that there is now a figure or not?

Supplementary Answer

They will continue to monitor the waiting list and make sure that as the children come in and require a placement then that will be given as soon as possible.

**Councillor
Flannery**

I think it just said that by next meeting so the update was really on where we are with it.

QUESTION NO 3

By Councillor Parker for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question

Given the decision at Transport & Environment Committee on 27th October 2015 to phase out the use of glyphosate by the council, what work has been done on this already, and how close are we to seeing all use of glyphosate ended?

Answer

Although the use of glyphosate is permitted for use by the SNP/Green Government, the Council has trialled the use of alternative chemicals, notably acid-based products that are increasingly coming onto the market.

At its meeting of [27 February 2020](#) Transport and Environment Committee considered introducing a trial of “Foamstream” in Balerno. This trial went ahead in 2021 with the conclusion that it was not a viable alternative on a city-wide basis for the treatment of street weeds. An update on the use of Glyphosate and the outcome of the trial in Balerno was reported to the Transport and Environment Committee on [31 March 2022](#).

This most recent update summarised the progress made in reducing the use of glyphosate across the city.

Officers are now progressing the steps outlined in the March update and hope to bring a report on the maintenance of cycle and footpaths to Transport and Environment Committee in December 2022 which will outline how an integrated approach to weed control can be further developed.

To reduce the use of chemicals even further, the most efficient long-term solution to tackle unwanted weed growth requires an integrated approach, including an increase in the frequency use of mechanical sweepers to remove the

build-up of organic detritus from road and pavement surfaces alongside people and communities weeding themselves, reducing the need for glyphosate to be applied. Viable alternatives and other developing products and methods will continue to be explored.

It must be noted, however, that many of the alternative approaches are more expensive. So whilst Edinburgh's administration has a desire to reduce glyphosate use to zero, we recognise that cuts the SNP/Green Gov are inflicting on our capital are a barrier to this. Nonetheless, I would welcome discussions on this issue ahead of the 2023/24 budget.

QUESTION NO 4

**By Councillor Staniforth for answer
by the Convener of the Education,
Children and Families Committee at a
meeting of the Council on 22
September 2022**

Question (1) Why was Abbeyhill Primary School shut down for two days on the 12th and 13th of September, creating severe disruption for some parents, despite access to the school being possible and the school being nowhere near the likely crowds created by the Queen's funeral procession?

Answer (1) The closures were included within the **City Wide Operation Unicorn Plan** which stated:

- *Schools Closures. Following extensive consultation, it has been agreed that only those schools inside the restricted areas will need to close for the duration of the Operation. The schools that will close from D+1 will be The Royal Mile Primary School, Abbeyhill Primary School and The Cowgate Nursery*
- *Education and Children's Services will be notified via the Council notification and activation plan. Once notified, colleagues within the Department will notify the Headteachers of the 3 schools affected to activate the closure plan*

Question (2) Why was it not possible to have provision for vulnerable children, at least, in Abbeyhill rather than located at Leith Walk given some vulnerable children react badly to change?

Answer (2) We were instructed that the school could not open due to the absence of critical FM staff who were deployed for Operation Unicorn.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost, I thank the Convener for the answers. Will the Convener assure us that in future her Department will work with facilities management to assure that when access to a school is not physically impossible it will still be granted to vulnerable children who require routine so that they can continue to attend their regular school?

**Supplementary
Answer**

Yes we'll make sure that the children can attend their regular school.

QUESTION NO 5

By Councillor Thornley for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question (1) What progress has been made on plans to upgrade the junction of Queensferry Road with Clermiston Road North?

Answer (1) A contract has been awarded for this project. However, the start date has been revised to address a conflict with utility works and other road improvement works in the area and elsewhere along the Queensferry Road corridor.

Question (2) When he expects work will begin on implementing these plans?

Answer (2) It is now proposed to undertake the work in early 2023, with specific dates being subject to contractor availability, school holiday dates, and road works registration and co-ordination requirements.

Question (3) Given this junction lies on the walking route for many pupils attending the Royal High, what discussions have been had with local residents to inform the plans?

Answer (3) The consultation for this scheme included discussions with the Parent Council at Royal High School. All of the issues raised have been considered as part of the design process, including in particular, crossing at this junction.

During the works a safe walking route will be maintained at all times. Temporary traffic lights including a pedestrian crossing phase will be in operation at times when the permanent signals are switched off. Following your question, I will ensure Ward Councillors and local residents are notified approximately two weeks before work commences.

**Supplementary
Question**

Thank you Lord Provost, I thank the Convener for his answer and his commitment to keeping ward councillors and local residents updated in advance of the works finally beginning at Queensferry Road. It is disappointing to see a delay of six months now. Is he planning to rectify that going forward and ensure the Council is forthcoming with details when delays are.....

**Comment by
the Lord
Provost**

Is there a question

**Councillor
Flannery**

That was a question

**Comment by
the Lord
Provost**

No that was a statement, we'll move on to 10 point 6

QUESTION NO 6

By Councillor Caldwell for answer by the Convener of the Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

In 2018, the Housing and Economy Committee approved the Mixed Tenure Strategy which included Acquisitions & Disposals.

In September 2021, the Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee approved the expansion of the Acquisitions and Disposals purchase criteria to include the purchase of homes in blocks where the Council owns 50% or more to increase the pool of homes that could be purchased to help address homelessness pressures.

This is reported on annually and most recently in July 2022.

Question (1) Of the total number of Disposals since the scheme began, how many Disposed flats are ground floor accessible properties and as such, are of high importance to ensure the Council's housing stock is accessible to people with physical disabilities?

Answer (1) Since the scheme began the Council has sold 20 ground floor properties in blocks where the Council was a minority owner. However, all ground floor properties are not necessarily fully accessible and may have steps leading into the property.

Question (2) How many Disposed flats were in stairwells or high-rise blocks with lifts?

Answer (2) The Council has disposed of five flats with lift access in blocks where the Council was a minority owner.

Question (3) How many Acquisitions since the scheme begun are ground floor flats, or otherwise accessible without the need for a lift or stairs?

Answer (3) Since the scheme began the Council has purchased 24 ground floor homes in blocks where it already owns at least 50% of the homes. The Council has also purchased 13 main door houses.

Question (4) Does the Convener agree with me that ground floor Council flats are of strategic importance in communities of high tenement density, such as Leith, Southside, Dalry and Stockbridge, where the stock of semi-detached properties is severely limited?

Answer (4) Ensuring Edinburgh has an adequate supply of affordable and accessible housing is very important. Before any homes are disposed of on the open market, ownership checks are carried out in the stair to determine whether any Registered Social Landlords currently have a presence and whether they would be interested in purchasing the home directly. If this is unsuccessful, the home is offered to the Council's Private Sector Leasing (PSL) delivery partner, Link Housing Association, prior to being sold on the open market. This is to ensure, wherever possible, homes can remain in the affordable sector.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost. In light of the answers given, would the Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Convener be up for a site visit to include all councillors on the Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee to these new acquisitioned flats?

Supplementary Answer Thank you Councillor Caldwell for your question and your supplementary and I'd be absolutely delighted to take part in that and invite others to join us, thank you.

QUESTION NO 7

By Councillor Caldwell for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

On Thursday 1st September 2022, the Transport and Environment Committee approved the TRO and the report outlining an expansion of Controlled Parking Zones into the areas of Pilrig, Leith Walk, Abbeyhill, Craigentiny and Shandon, among others.

These new Controlled Parking Zones contain Statutory Conservation Areas such as Leith Walk and Pilrig, which are intended to preserve and enhance the character of the areas.

Can the Transport and Environment Convener please confirm;

Question (1) Will the Parking Review department maintain a strong working relationship with the Planning department to ensure that the character of these two Conservation Areas are not negatively impacted by the introduction of furniture, including ticket machines and new signage?

Answer (1) Yes. Although with parking signage there is often a legal requirement to identify parking restrictions, every effort will be made to minimise the introduction of any new signs and poles. Existing street furniture, such as lamp columns, will be used to accommodate any necessary signs where it is possible to do so. Officers will also be seeking permission from residents and businesses to erect signage on their property, such as on walls and railings, wherever possible. There will be significantly fewer ticket machines in comparison to existing parking zones as these are only being proposed for areas where there is likely to be a high demand for paid public parking.

Question (2) What considerations are being given to minimise new street furniture on pavements and make use of existing infrastructure, to ensure the Council continues our dedication to the 'Cut the Pavement Clutter project', alongside Living Streets UK and Paths for All?

Answer **(2)** Please see answer 1 above.

QUESTION NO 8

By Councillor Caldwell for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

The Scottish Government have announced a proposed £150m of funding for Active Travel across the country, including proposals to double the funding of the National Cycle Network in 2023.

The semi-abandoned railway line between Saint Mark's Park and Abbeyhill could provide a vital path linking the areas to Pilrig, Leith Walk, Allanfield and Easter Road and signal a major expansion of the North Edinburgh Path Network to the east of the City and facilitate easier walking, wheeling and cycling.

The Council's Active Travel team are currently conducting a feasibility study which is due later this year.

Can the Transport and Environment Convener please confirm;

- Question** (1) What additional resource have the Active Travel team been allocated to conduct the feasibility study?
- Answer** (1) A dedicated project manager has been allocated to this study as part of the Council's Active Travel Investment Programme.
- Question** (2) What representations have been made so far to national bodies, such as Transport Scotland, to deliver funding for the proposed project?
- Answer** (2) To date, no direct representations have been made to Transport Scotland or any other national body about this project.
- Question** (3) What representations have been made so far to charities and third sector organisations, such as Sustrans, to deliver funding for the proposed project?

Answer (3) The Council has been allocated funding from Sustrans' Places for Everyone programme for the Active Travel Investment Programme, which includes the feasibility study for the Powderhall Railway active travel route.

No representations have been made to any other charities/ third sector organisations as the only realistic source of this nature is Transport Scotland funding via Sustrans.

Question (4) Now the 'Spaces for People' and the 'Travelling Safely ETRO' consultations have concluded, can the Convener assure me that appropriate Officer time will be dedicated to the Powderhall Active Travel Project, which was first discussed by the North East Locality back in 2018?

Answer (4) Yes, as noted in question 1, a project manager is now dedicated to this important project.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost. Would the Convener agree with me that the proposed Powderhall Active Travel Route could be a good testing project for the results from the Women's Safety in Public Places consultation which raised many concerns about off-road cycle paths?

Supplementary Answer Yes, what I would say is as part of the circulation plan that is something we've been discussing internally as well about how we can use that to think about the safety of not just women but anybody travelling alone through the city, particular in the evening. On this route in particular I'd be happy to speak about the particular challenges there, it is a challenging route generally but no doubt we can look at these other things as well, so no problem, thank you.

QUESTION NO 9

**By Councillor Neil Ross for answer
by the Convener of the Finance and
Resources Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 22 September 2022**

The Council is currently facing soaring energy costs while working on its transition to net-zero.

Question (1) What action is the Council taking, in addition to previously announced plans, to further reduce its carbon footprint and its energy bill by implementing energy saving measures in its workplaces and public buildings?

Answer (1) A review of control schedules is already underway with the aim of trimming run hours of plant where possible, whilst meeting service requirements. To support this, core hours have been agreed with Education and Catering, and processes are in place to accommodate heating requirements for lets. Whilst this is standard energy management, increases in gas prices bring focus, and it is expected that there will be deliverable savings with minimal impact to services.

Further opportunities to deliver immediate savings on energy spend in operational buildings are also under consideration. This includes proposals to limit building temperatures (except in residential units and special schools).

Question (2) Will officers report on these actions to the Finance and Resources Committee?

Answer (2) These operational decisions do not require formal political approval, but an update will be included in a future Business Bulletin for Finance and Resources Committee.

The Energy Management Policy for Operational Buildings report will be taken to Policy and Sustainability Committee in January 2023 and wider activities in relation to the Council's net zero strategy will be covered in the Carbon Emissions Reduction Report going to Policy and Sustainability Committee in November 2022.

Question (3) If so, when is a report likely to be presented?

Answer **(3)** See above.

QUESTION NO 10

**By Councillor Neil Ross for answer
by the Convener of the Finance and
Resources Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 22 September 2022**

So much electricity is expended by keeping stair lights on in blocks of flats in the middle of the night when very few people are coming and going. I understand that, where the Council pays for the provision and maintenance of stair lighting, consideration is being given by officers to the installation of movement sensors so that lighting would be on only when people are moving in the stair.

Question (1) How many stairwells is the Council responsible for lighting?

Answer (1) The Council currently pays for the energy costs for common stair lighting in blocks of flats across the city. There is no data held on how many blocks this relates to.

The Council carries out repairs and maintenance of stair lighting in blocks where it has ownership of a property or properties. This means that the Council could carry out repairs and maintenance in around 4,500 blocks. The Council owns 100% of properties in 724 blocks.

Question (2) Would this be a suitable project to finance from the Council's Spend to Save fund?

Answer (2) I will look into this with officers and will report back to Councillors as soon as possible.

Question (3) If so, when is a proposal likely to be presented to the Finance & Resources Committee for consideration?

Answer (3) See response to Question 2 above.

QUESTION NO 11

By Councillor Booth for answer by the Leader of the Council at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question

Further to his supplementary answer to my question at full council of 25 August 2022, the council leader has again failed to answer the question. Can I give him another opportunity to answer the question: what were the reasons behind his proposal to reduce the size of the licensing board while also creating a new position of vice-convener?

Answer

The appointments of members to Committees, Boards and Joint Boards, including the Licensing Board were agreed at the Council meeting on 26 May 2022 (adjourned from 19 May 2022).

Supplementary Question

Thank you Lord Provost and I thank the Council Leader for his non answer. This is the fifth time that he's failed to answer this question, I didn't ask for the date of the decision I asked for the reasons, so can the Council Leader please clarify, given this is the fifth time he's failed to answer the question, what is he trying to hide?

Supplementary Answer

Can I thank Councillor Booth for his supplementary question for the 5th time he's asked the same question, the answers were given every month, so the decision was made back in May and there was no questions asked. I'd much rather spend my afternoon congratulating and wishing Councillor Booth good luck in his marathon and his 50th birthday quite soon.

QUESTION NO 12

By Councillor Booth for answer by the Leader of the Council at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Further to his answer to my question at full council of 25 August 2022, can the council leader outline:

Question (1) when he met with Bòrd na Gàidhlig, and what were the outcomes of that discussion?

Answer (1) Councillor Day will meet Shona NicIlinnein from Bòrd na Gàidhlig on 29/09/2022 at 4pm

Question (2) whether he has any plans to meet with members of the Gaelic community in Edinburgh?

Answer (2) Future meetings will be considered following the above meeting

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost. I thank the Council Leader for his answer which he has actually given this time but can he clarify, if he doesn't have any intention to meet with the Gaelic community how is he going to listen to what they say?

Supplementary Answer I thank Councillor Booth for the supplementary, maybe he's not read my answers, I will meet with the board on the 29th of September and depending on how that discussion goes we will then decide what other discussions need to happen in the Gaelic community.

QUESTION NO 13

**By Councillor Booth for answer by
the Convener of the Education,
Children and Families Committee at a
meeting of the Council on 22
September 2022**

- Question** (1) What are the council's next steps in identifying a site for a GME High School?
- Answer** (1) There are currently no proposals for any further work to be carried out in relation to identifying a site for a GME secondary school. A statutory consultation proposal to establish a dedicated secondary school was submitted to Committee for consideration but it was not approved to progress. There are no sites available in the city centre for a GME secondary school therefore no more work is planned to develop that option.
- Question** (2) Will the council undertake a full options appraisal, including engagement with the Gaelic community, on the options identified so far as potential sites for a GME High School?
- Answer** (2) As there are no sites available in the city centre for a GME secondary school, there are currently no plans for any further work to be carried out on the options identified so far as potential sites for a GME secondary school.
- Question** (3) What are the council's plans to open a second GME primary school?
- Answer** (3) As there are no sites available in the city centre for a GME secondary school this means that a second primary school cannot be developed as there will be insufficient capacity in secondary provision. The decision to not go forward with statutory consultation means that the option of Liberton and the second primary was not able to be taken forward..
- Question** (4) What is the pupil capacity of the Darroch annex?

- Answer** (4) It is estimated that the notional capacity of James Gillespie's High School will be 1900 when the new annexe is open. The annexe provides about 450 places of this notional capacity. The capacity of any secondary school is only considered notional because the number of pupils a school can accommodate is not only determined by the size of a building but also by the number and type of spaces available, the way those spaces are timetabled, the courses offered, the number of staff and the way pupils are spread across year groups. Many schools regularly and consistently operate beyond their notional capacity.
- Question** (5) When will Darroch reach capacity, if Taobh na Pairce were to remain as the only GME primary school?
- Answer** (5) The current roll projections estimate that James Gillespie's High School notional capacity will be exceeded in 2025.
- Question** (6) What funding was received from the Scottish Government towards the Darroch refurbishment, and what conditions were attached to that funding?
- Answer** (6) £4m was received from the Scottish Government. The grant was made available to develop a Secondary Gaelic Annex at Darroch House in preparation for establishing a dedicated GME High School, establish a second GME Primary School and expand Early Years provision. A statutory consultation proposal to establish a dedicated secondary school and expand primary GME was submitted to Committee for consideration but it was not approved to progress. The Scottish Government have been fully informed that this is the current situation relating to the grant.
- Question** (7) What are the next steps in developing the council's strategy for Gaelic?
- Answer** (7) A report has been submitted to the Education, Children and Families Committee for consideration.

**Supplementary
Question**

Thank you Lord Provost. I thank the Convener for her answer which goes into some detail, can she clarify in relation to her response on Question 5, that is a different answer to the answer that Council officers were giving during the informal consultation, so can she clarify what has changed and specifically whether the Council intends to use Darroch for both Gaelic and English medium education?

**Supplementary
Answer**

Thank you for your supplementary, I'm not aware of the difference but I will find out exactly what the change is, if there is any change and get back to you.

QUESTION NO 14

By Councillor Aston for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question (1) Has the Transport and Environment Convener had any discussions on the reliability and accuracy of the bus tracker app since the formation of the administration?

Answer (1) Discussions have taken place with Lothian Buses in respect of the Lothian Buses bus tracker app since an issue was highlighted at the Council meeting on 25 August 2022. Lothian Buses have provided the following update:

Our app shows the predicted real time arrival of any journey based on the timetable verses the location of the individual bus. The app can't predict congestion or other delays that may yet not have been encountered by the bus.

Additionally, if the bus has not moved for an extended time period, for example at a driver swap over where there is a knock-on effect of the relief driver having been delayed on their previous journey, the trip may revert to an estimated time until the bus is on the move again. Buses are occasionally removed from the app where the bus is no longer able to continue its journey for operational reasons

Question (2) What steps has he taken to progress improvements, in conjunction with Lothian Bus, to improve the reliability and accuracy of the bus tracker app?

Answer (2) Lothian bus and tram app is in constant development both in terms of customer functionality and accessibility to ensure that it reflects the needs of digital customers.

Council officers are not aware of any on-going issues with the Lothian Buses bus tracker app but there are a number of apps (20+) available, which although the source data is the same, will provide information in slightly different ways and so may experience issues. I've based the answer on the Lothian Buses app – which is that one I use and trust.

Although the App is incredibly important, Edinburgh's Administration continues to support and invest in on-street information screens as part of a renewed commitment to support and expand public transport. Our aim is to transform the on-street system within 12 months:

1. The first 30 new screens will be installed on Princes Street, Queensferry Street and Shandwick Place.
2. The new screens will be full colour, and capable of showing multi operator real time information, as well as disruption information and emergency information.
3. The screens will also be capable of displaying other information from the Council.
4. It is planned to finish installing all 429 signs by mid-2023, with the creation of around 80 new sites in Edinburgh

Technology moves quickly within the industry and the Administration is committed to taking advantage of it where possible. We are exploring new technologies all the time one of which is the use of a SIRI SX data feed, which will allow operators to update our signs with live disruption information i.e. congestion or road diversions. This is in its infancy and not being used anywhere else in the country, but we hope that it can be used on our system.

QUESTION NO 15

By Councillor Aston for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question

What engagement has the Transport and Environment Convener had with the UK Government regarding electric scooters?

Answer

None. I have also not engaged with the corporate entities lobbying myself and other Councillors on this issue.

I have little interest in these devices due to the many concerns regarding their use and storage raised by charities representing people with visual impairments.

The Guide Dogs for the Blind Association - *“Guide Dogs research shows that nearly 75% of people with sight loss who have encountered an e-scooter have had a negative experience. Visually impaired people are already being forced to change their behaviour because of e-scooters, with some changing their regular routes and others not leaving home alone.”*

I note, however, that at least one manufacturer is engaging with RNIB to understand how the challenges e-scooters represent may be addressed.

E-scooters are currently banned on public roads and footpaths in Scotland, and I share the concerns of many people about how this is being enforced.

Based on recent trials, more information is expected from the Department of Transport. This was expected in May 2022, but has not yet come forward. I support calls from The Guide Dogs for the Bind Association for the UK Government to publish their data ASAP:

<https://www.guidedogs.org.uk/blog/guide-dogs-responds-to-government-decision-to-extend-e-scooter-trials> .

**Supplementary
Question**

Thank you Lord Provost. So the Convener might not be interested that my constituents think they're a menace, my question is, is the Convener aware that the Westminster Transport Select Committee indicated that support for legalising e-scooters in October 2020, does he understand that it might well be legalised regardless of his lack of interest in e-scooters and will he now engage with the Westminster Government in relation to the regulation?

**Supplementary
Answer**

I thought perhaps I was being too subtle Councillor Aston. In terms of a lack of interest, what I mean is, I don't think Edinburgh's right yet for e-scooters to be used on the streets here and that's largely because of discussions I've had with people as I explained in my answer, people who represent disability charities and the challenges they have, so I have no intention of engaging in a process which would make them more likely to come here to be honest and I think officers ,do engage with the process but that's where we are with this.

QUESTION NO 16

**By Councillor Campbell for answer
by the Convener of the Transport and
Environment Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 22 September 2022**

Following the strike action the administration has put in place plans for a clean-up. Constituents in Portobello Craigmillar have been in touch to say that their bins were missed during this period and some collections have not taken place for four or five weeks. To ask the convener:

Question (1) Why the administration's plan for the clean-up did not prioritise all grey bins being collected, at least once, ahead of second collections or other types of waste collections?

Answer (1) Council staff did an incredible job to get the collection system back on its feet following strike action which could have been avoided if the SNP/Green Government had entered constructive discussions earlier. Staff had to simultaneously restart and maintain existing collection schedules whilst also clearing a huge backlog during a short period of time between the end of the first strike action and the proposed second one starting.

Residents were advised that they could present excess waste alongside general and mixed recycling kerbside bins. Within the recovery plan, glass and garden collections remained suspended, to prioritise resources to both kerbside, communal general waste and mixed recycling bins.

However, given the late notice of the suspended strike action (confirmation that the second period of strike action was not going ahead on 6 September was received late on 2 September) there was not sufficient time to change plans, to inform staff and communicate to residents.

Question (2) Why the administration's plan has resulted in some bins not being collected for over a month?

Answer (2) Individual bins are collected on a fortnightly schedule – general waste one week and mixed recycling the next – therefore there is normally 14 days between collections. This meant that approximately 25% of kerbside properties would have waited 28 days for a collection.

Question (3) To ask, from the last collection prior to strike action;

a) How many kerbside grey bins did not have an uplift for 28 days or more?

b) How many communal general waste bins did not have an uplift for 28 days or more?

Answer (3) a) Approximately 23% of households would have waited 28 days – this includes my own household and many others in my Ward. Their last collection of general waste would have been on 4 and 5 August. Collections restarted on 30 August, with double collections of general waste and mixed recycling for affected properties. This was planned to take account of the second strike period planned to begin on 6 September.

b) Thanks to the hard work of staff, there was no communal collection that went more than 28 days between collections.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost and to thank the Convener for his answers although they weren't answers to the questions that I asked, so would he commit to giving me an answer, how many waste collections were not uplifted for more than 28 days, not how many he estimates probably would have been at 28 days, but how many were longer. I certainly had constituents that waited five weeks or more.....

Comments by the Lord Provost I think your question's finished.

Councillor Campbell Sorry Provost just to finish, so will he give us that information and if he will not give us that information can explain why he will not?

**Supplementary
Answer**

As far as I'm concerned the question was answered, but if you want clarification please just e-mail me and I'll address it, thank you.

QUESTION NO 17

**By Councillor Campbell for answer
by the Convener of the Culture and
Communities Committee at a
meeting of the Council on 22
September 2022**

The review of Lifelong Learning was launched on 8 June and the formal consultation process has now concluded. Councillors were not briefed ahead of the review and there was no report to committee. Can the convener confirm:

Question (1) Was she briefed ahead of the review?

Answer (1) Following my appointment as Convener of Culture and Communities I was verbally briefed by officers on the Lifelong Learning Review on June 16th.

Question (2) Did she agree that it was not politically sensitive and so councillors did not need to be informed?

Answer (2) Organisational Reviews are always taken forward at officer level.

Question (3) Who made the decision that it was not politically sensitive?

Answer (3) The preparation for this organisational review began in 2019 and, in recognition of the political interest in the services affected by the review, the proposed realignment of responsibilities was reported to the Policy and Sustainability Committee on 25 February 2020 (B Agenda). The organisational review consultation commenced shortly after this date but was paused due to the outbreak of Coronavirus (COVID-19). The review consultation restarted in early June 2022 and followed the Council's policy for Managing Change.

Question (4) Have any management committees yet had discussions on future arrangements?

Answer (4) All Community Centre Management Committees were informed about the review.

Question (5) If not, when does she expect these discussions to commence?

Answer (5) While the formal consultation with staff has now closed, the structure and associated changes of responsibilities have not yet been finalised. Once this has been completed, staff will go through a period of matching and assignment to appoint them into roles within the new structure. Conversations with stakeholders, including management committees, will commence once the future service structures are in place.

Question (6) When will a report come in front of councillors to set out any changes to the relationship between management committees and CEC lifelong learning staff based in community centres?

Answer (6) I have asked officers to bring a report to Culture and Communities Committee as early as possible, updating members on how the Council will work with Community Centres going forward.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost. So thank you to the Convener for her answer, she pointed me to a report of two and a half years ago, in that report said the committee were kept updated as elected member engagement will continue throughout the consultation period. Given that she wasn't even informed that the consultation was starting after a two and a half year pause, does she feel that the promise in that report has been met?

Supplementary Answer Thank you for your supplementary. I'm going to find out a bit more about this and get back to you either by e-mail or if you prefer we could discuss.

QUESTION NO 18

By Councillor Dobbin for answer by the Convener of the Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question (1) Why was the Agenda Planning Meeting for the Housing, Homelessness and Fair Work committee rearranged three times?

Answer (1) The APM was rearranged due to leave in exceptional circumstances and when Monday 19th was declared as a bank holiday following the death of HM the Queen.

Question (2) Does the convener recognise that with many members working part time, rearranging with short notice could be a barrier to participation?

Answer (2) Every attempt will be made to retain scheduled APM dates.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost and I thank the Convener for the answers to the questions. Given that a number of members were not able to attend the rescheduled APM on Tuesday past, would not be prudent to appoint a Vice Convener so that in such circumstances in future the APM business can proceed according to the required notice allowing full member participation?

Supplementary Answer Can I thank Councillor Dobbin for his supplementary question. These postponements happened as he knows, in extremely exceptional circumstances, I'm not entirely sure either that it's within my gift to make an agreement of the kind that you're suggesting so I would respectfully decline to do such, so thank you.

QUESTION NO 19

By Councillor Kumar for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

It is well known that both James Gillespie's and Boroughmuir are over-subscribed but this is also the case for residents living within the catchment area. The knock on effect of this means that 'new' residents within the catchment area are not able to get a place.

To ask the convener:

Question (1) How many schools are oversubscribed across the city?

Answer (1) A total number of seven primary schools were oversubscribed when the waiting lists were passed to schools at the start of session and had catchment children on waiting lists.

A total number of two secondary schools were oversubscribed when the waiting lists were passed to schools at the start of session and had catchment children on waiting lists.

Question (2) How many placing requests are refused for residents within catchment areas (this is to include new residents)?

Answer (2) Please note that children who are in the catchment are by 24 December of each year are guaranteed places. Thereafter, children are placed on waiting lists.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost and can I thank the Convener for her answers as well. As a point of clarity does the Convener know the number of pupils on waiting lists within each of the over-subscribed schools and secondly does she know the number of pupils on the waiting list who have not been guaranteed a place in their own catchment area, thank you.

Supplementary Answer Thank you for your supplementary, I don't have that information at the moment but I can get that information to you.

QUESTION NO 20

**By Councillor Macinnes for answer
by the Convener of the Finance and
Resources Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 22 September 2022**

Question (1) How much has the council spent on contractors and agency staff since the start of the administration?

Answer (1) Recorded spend on agency staffing in the period between April and August 2022 was £8.2m, representing around 3% of the Council's overall paybill, inclusive of on-costs.

Question (2) Is the total spend expected to increase this financial year compared to the last year?

Answer (2) Expenditure in the year to date is higher than at the equivalent point in 2021/22. This increase needs to be seen, however, in the light of exceptional labour market pressures for permanent staffing and significant unforeseen and continuing external demands, such as support for the Council's response in the resettlement of Ukrainian refugees, alongside delivery of other key services.

The overall position for the year will reflect the impact of the remedial actions noted in the response to Question (3).

Question (3) What action is the convener taking to reduce the levels of contracted and agency staff?

Answer (3) Given external recruitment challenges, a targeted approach with additional promotional methods has been successful in filling cleaning vacancies thus reducing dependency on agency and overtime spend. A similar approach is being explored to target pressures in Waste and Cleansing. On-going implementation of organisational reviews should also contribute positively to avoiding any unplanned use of agency staffing.

**Supplementary
Question**

Thank you very much Lord Provost. thank you to the Convener and officers for an informative answer. Although I suspect the Convener will be disappointed to see that the amount that we've spent on contractors and agency staff has gone up since the election, I wonder if she can provide any expected timescales and performance targets in relation to the answer number 3?

**Supplementary
Answer**

Thank you Councillor Macinnes. So, a lot depends on what the needs of the city are, for instance it may well have increased during the time of the Queen's funeral, so there's often circumstances beyond our control which do come up and where it's essential that we do use extra workers of course I support the Council having as many as possible fully accredited workers but yes I believe we have regular reporting of this already to Finance and Resources Committee, I will double check in case my recollection's not correct, but my understanding is that we do already have reporting of this, so thank you.

QUESTION NO 21

**By Councillor Macinnes for answer
by the Leader of the Council at a
meeting of the Council on 22
September 2022**

Question

How many meetings has the Council Leader had which were also attended by Conservative Group Leader Councillor Iain Whyte since the formation of the administration in May 2022?

Answer

Councillor Whyte has been afforded the same opportunities to meet with me as all other group leaders including the questioners. I hope group leaders take the opportunity to work constructively with me.

**Supplementary
Question**

Thank you very much Lord Provost, unfortunately the Leader has avoided answering the question. I wonder if he could now provide the specific information requested in the original question?

**Supplementary
Answer**

Could I thank Councillor Macinnes for a repetition of her question. I have met with the other leaders, all the leaders being invited to all the meetings I have had, I've had no additional meetings with any other parties, if the SNP Leaders don't want to turn up to meetings that will be their choice.

QUESTION NO 22

**By Councillor Macinnes for answer
by the Leader of the Council at a
meeting of the Council on 22
September 2022**

Question

At the June Full Council it was unanimously agreed by Council, following my motion which was constructively amended by Green and Liberal Democrat contributions, that we would receive a report on the establishment of a Young Person's Assembly within two committee cycles. Can the Leader tell us what interventions he has made, before receiving this question, to ensure that this vital contribution to effective policymaking and implementation will be brought forward?

Answer

I am aware of numerous actions and interventions which ensure young people's voice contributes to policy-making. Some are past with current influence, some remain current, and some are new. They include:

- What Kind of Edinburgh, Youth Talk and Young Edinburgh Action.
- the Rights Respecting Schools programme.
- Scottish Youth Parliament - Eleven MSYPs attend City of Edinburgh Council schools, eight are young women and three are from a Black and Minority Ethnic background.
- All schools have pupil councils, or equivalent, with a range of approaches in place to ensure pupil voice plays a key part in school life and in decision-making.
- We hosted a Youth Climate Action Summit in February 2020 with more than 100 S1-S3 young people. 12 students from six high schools participated in an online session to gauge views on COP26 and climate change. Funded by YouthLink Scotland, Edinburgh schools have developed 1.5 Max - an international online and classroom-based climate education initiative.

- All schools have a Pupil Equalities Co-ordinator and in June 2022, young people in pupil-led equalities groups met to share ideas and explore what support they need.
- In November 2020, 21 senior phase students from 14 high schools took part in an online consultation about COVID.

Supplementary Question

Yes thank you Lord Provost, my apologies, I should note my question was obviously submitted before we knew that the report was coming forward so my apologies for the repetition, however, again the question that I asked has not been responded to correctly in the written response, so instead of merely listing other albeit valuable activity can the Leader please answer the question directly?

Supplementary Answer

Can I thank Councillor Macinnes for her supplementary, my colleague Councillor Griffiths who leads on Education Children and Families has been leading on this for many months since her time in her post, meeting as she said earlier, schools pupils' from across the Council's estate discussing amongst them many many issues in the school including how to widen youth participation across the city. What we don't want to do is force an adult led bureaucracy onto young people we'd rather work with young people, so I commit to continue working alongside Councillor Griffiths to deliver that.

QUESTION NO 23

By Councillor Nicolson for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question

As a Council we have previously recognised the extreme difficulties caused for some residents as a result of flooding following major rainfall events, something we are seeing happen more frequently as a result of climate changes. One practical issue which has emerged is the supply of sandbags at appropriate times for some elderly or disabled residents who cannot collect them in person from fire service stations, as is the current practice.

What solutions to this would the Convener suggest that can be implemented effectively ahead of the winter season?

Answer

There can be no doubt that Climate Change is resulting in an increased frequency of short, intense rainfall events which often lead to surface water flooding in the city.

Recent years have shown these can happen during summer or winter seasons. During a flood event, Council Officers work hard to prioritise collective measures to reduce the risk of flooding to large numbers of homes, such as closing flood gates, clearing culvert grilles, and erecting barriers when required.

I have spoken to two groups of residents this month who are facing flood risk – one community is recognised as being at “high” risk of surface flooding by SEPA. For them, this really is a “Climate Emergency”. I can assure you they are not interested in free sandbags, they want the Council to take steps to reduce and manage runoff in partnership with Scottish Water. They recognise, however, that SNP/Green Government cuts to Edinburgh’s budget make this increasingly difficult. I would welcome discussions with all political groups on this issue ahead on the 2023/24 budget process.

Additionally, many other residents are concerned about the amount of sewage being discharged to Edinburgh’s rivers

from Scottish Water's overloaded network during rainfall events. Indeed, it has been reported that Portobello Beach was found to be contaminated by sewage in July & August: <https://theferret.scot/sewage-pollution-scottish-beaches/>

Nonetheless, I would encourage all residents to understand their own flood risk (check the SEPA flood map here: <https://map.sepa.org.uk/floodmaps>) and to prepare accordingly using the Council's advice: <https://www.edinburgh.gov.uk/flooding>

This may involve enlisting help from friends, family or neighbours where sandbags are required. These should be collected from fire stations in advance of heavy rain. However, whilst a lack of funding means it is not possible to commit to individual deliveries of sandbags to homes, if individual residents have no alternative support available to them, I will ask that Council officers endeavour to assist where sufficient notice is given, and consider the proactive issue of synthetic sandbags where people have mobility issues. Ahead of this winter season, I will ensure the Council will use various sources of communication to inform residents of the support available, being mindful that not everyone has access to the internet or social media.

Supplementary Question

Thank you for the response to my question Convener, it didn't really answer my question. I note that you say there's a funding shortfall preventing us from providing sandbags to those who have no way of collecting them, could you explain how much this would cost, define what is meant by a lack of funding and tell me how you established that a shortfall exists?

Supplementary Answer

There will be a budget position reported to the next Transport Committee but that'll be looking back, going forward next year we're expecting a £60m-£70m cut to the council's budget, I mean that's what we're facing so I get that people want the Council to do more but to do more in some aspects we have to do much less in others and that's where we were at. This is a really important issue and I do make clear Councillor Nicholson in my response that if people do need the support I will try my best to make sure the Council

does provide it, I do say that towards the bottom of my reply and that's absolutely genuine.

I think you're in the same ward as Councillor Osler and I was speaking to her this week about the issues in your ward because I've got very similar issues in my own ward and I think what we're thinking of doing is bringing together some sort of summit because I think there's lots of Councillors have got issues and concerns around this, they want better advice from Scottish Water in terms of what they're doing but they also want their MSPs to engage in that process as well because people don't always feel that some of the MSPs are fully engaged in this arena.

So there's a suggestion that we will run this summit, we'll bring all these parties together, we'll figure out what the issues in Edinburgh are and we'll see what we can do about them, but the funding will be distributed inside the council but I think if we work together with these other partners let's hope we can make some progress, in your ward, in my ward and in all others where we face problems in the city, thank you.

QUESTION NO 24

**By Councillor McFarlane for answer
by the Leader of the Council at a
meeting of the Council on 22
September 2022**

Question (1) What assurances has the Leader had from BT about the removal of the unnecessary street clutter represented by redundant BT phone boxes?

Answer (1) I met with BT in July. BT confirmed they are removing the obsolete phone boxes. Others have been used to frequently make emergency calls and will remain while planning applications have been submitted to convert others to BT Plus points.

Question (2) By what deadline does he expect the work to have been undertaken?

Answer (2) Council officers have been in on-going discussions with BT on this issue and an agreement is being sought. BT have a legal duty to provide payphone coverage and their view is that phone boxes are not redundant and are required meet demand and to support household WIFI infrastructure.

Officers are investigating all channels that will allow partnership working with BT to improve the appearance of phone boxes and encourage rationalisation of assets on street.

I have contacted BT to provide me with an update including timescales.

Supplementary Question Thank you Lord Provost and thanks to the Council Leader for his initial answers. I would just like to ask what specifically he sees as the relationship between BT's plans to introduce Hubs, Street Hubs, and with their entirely separate roll out work of removing the redundant phone boxes is, what exactly is that relationship in his eyes?

**Supplementary
Answer**

Can I thank Councillor McFarlane for his supplementary. I've had a further discussion with BT Scotland this week and have agreed to meet them every three months to progress on these issues. The applications for changing some of these BT phone boxes into BT hubs' is a planning issue which I can't interfere with and I hope some of them do transform them to these new BT hubs because they also provide free calls, free w-fi, and in hotspots where there's a lower service requirement across the city. There were 55 jobs identified and they have all been done apart from 13 but I'm happy to follow these up with BT and go back to Councillor McFarlane for an update.

QUESTION NO 25

By Councillor Aston for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 22 September 2022

Question (1) Given the impact of winter conditions on the city's transport, roads and infrastructure, what actions has the Transport and Environment Convener taken to ensure that the winter maintenance programme is being prepared effectively and what further actions does he plan to take between now and the beginning of the winter?

Answer (1) I recognise that residents are concerned that insufficient roads and footpaths are treated in response to cold weather, and this can result in increased hospital admissions.

Whilst the Edinburgh's Administration aspires to increase funding to this service as part of the 2023/24 budget, residents understand that this will be challenging given the scale of the cuts the SNP/Green Government are inflicting on Edinburgh.

In terms of winter 2022/23, the Roads Operations team are preparing a Winter Readiness Bulletin for elected members, and will issue this to coincide with October's Transport and Environment Committee.

If desired, a presentation for Elected Members on the winter maintenance plan can be arranged at Bankhead Roads Depot.

This page is intentionally left blank

Leader's Report

Cllr Cammy Day



October 2022

Edinburgh shines – but there's much still to do

The last few weeks have been both incredibly challenging and hugely rewarding for Edinburgh, showing our city at its magnificent best as we marked the passing of Her Majesty The Queen, and underlining just how much we can achieve when we work together.

The same is, of course, true of our city's response to the global pandemic since it began two and a half years ago and, more recently, to the horrific events in Ukraine. The welcome and support we have given, and continue to give, to people in such desperate need, has been truly immense. It's now six months since we opened our Welcome Hub at Gogarburn, and we've already brought close to 10,000 Ukrainian refugees safely through its doors.

As we edge closer to winter, however, we must stay focused on the wider priorities for our city and for our residents. The true scale and difficulty of the cost-of-living crisis is becoming ever clearer. We – and our partners – are working extremely hard to lessen the impact of the crisis and make a real difference.

Of course, a year on from COP26 in Glasgow, we mustn't forget the escalating climate emergency either. I took the opportunity during Climate Week 2022 to restate the Council's – and the city's – commitment to the fight against climate change and our ambitious target of being net zero by 2030.

This is all incredibly important but, alongside these ambitious commitments, we must demonstrate how we can improve core day-to-day services and deliver for the people of Edinburgh by prioritising where there is the most need and where we can make the biggest impact.

That means making Edinburgh a cleaner, better maintained city that we can all be proud of. It also means allowing all our residents to access fair work, support and public services in ways that meet their needs and expectations.

We're working hard to ensure the Council Business Plan – a draft of which will be published shortly – reflects these ambitions while dealing with ever-tighter financial settlements from the Scottish Government. My drive to address the issues that matter the most to the people of Edinburgh is every bit as strong as when I took office in May, and I will never tire of making the case for the funding we deserve as a capital city.

[Read more](#) of my thoughts on our progress to date and ambitions for the months and years ahead.

Warm and welcoming spaces

As I said above, many of our residents will rightly be concerned about energy costs and heating their homes – or, worse still, losing them.

One option we're considering is the provision of 'warm and welcoming spaces' across the city. Council venues such as libraries, museums and galleries could offer free activities for a variety of age groups, providing spaces where people can feel safe, warm and at ease, while being able to access support and advice to help alleviate food and fuel poverty.

We'll be discussing plans at our Policy and Sustainability Committee on 1 November. In the meantime, please also visit our dedicated home energy webpages for [advice on how to keep your homes warmer, save money or if you're worried about your bills](#).

As we marked World Homeless Day earlier this month, we reflected on [ten things](#) we're doing to tackle homelessness in Edinburgh. Despite the current Scottish Government freeze, Edinburgh still faces some of the highest rents in Europe and we have a dedicated team helping people at risk of losing their homes. Of course, the best way to address homelessness is to prevent it from happening in the first place and one of our main priorities is working with the private rented sector to help people stay in their homes.

With pressure on our housing stock greater than ever, I was delighted to see [Edinburgh becoming Scotland's first Short Term Let control area last](#) month and I hope to see property owners do the right thing and turn unsuitable holiday lets back into homes. Landlords can also sign over to Private Sector Leasing where they will receive market rates and guaranteed tenancies through the Council, to provide an essential home for someone in need.

Countdown to Christmas

I was pleased to confirm the appointment of experienced and highly respected local event producers Unique Assembly to deliver both Edinburgh's Christmas and [Edinburgh's Hogmanay](#) festivals this year.

More information will be announced in the coming weeks, but we know that the revised programme will guarantee the welcome return of popular attractions like the Christmas Market and funfair in Princes Street Gardens. The ice rink will return to George Street, together with new lighting and projections, while the Ross Bandstand will host a selection of free festive events and shows for families, including a traditional Nativity Carol Concert.

As tickets went on sale for the world-famous [Edinburgh's Hogmanay Street Party](#) earlier this week, I was reminded of the popularity of our Winter Festivals and the significant cultural and economic benefits they bring to our businesses and residents. Importantly, our new plans are delivering on the key elements of our major public consultation carried out in 2019, including reduced use of green spaces, more accessible and family-friendly attractions and a more even spread across the city.

We will, of course, conduct a full review of the circumstances that led to the withdrawal of the original Christmas producer, but our immediate priority is to ensure we can provide high-quality festive celebrations for the city this year.

Working together to preserve our cultural heritage

It was with great sadness that we learnt the Centre for the Moving Image (CMI), parent charity of the Edinburgh Filmhouse and Edinburgh International Film Festival (EIFF), was to enter administration.

A much-loved cinema in the city since the 1970s, the Filmhouse was also the spiritual home of the EIFF, which this year celebrated 75 years. As the world's oldest continually running film festival, we're determined to see it return next year and, on hearing the news, I immediately wrote to Creative Scotland and the Scottish Government asking them to reserve any remaining funding.

Together with officers and the Culture Convener, I am holding weekly meetings with the Government, Creative Scotland and the administrators to explore all avenues available to us to support the future of the CMI and do everything we can to preserve these valuable cultural assets for our capital city.

Celebrating our city's diversity

Every October across the UK, we mark Black History Month, celebrating the achievements of Black Britons while reflecting upon and recognising our shared history.

Here in the capital, we have a number of events and exhibitions taking place throughout the month, including [Respect! Caribbean Life in Edinburgh](#) at the Museum of Edinburgh, [Scotland's Ties to Slavery](#) at the City Art Centre and [Racializing Children: Addressing Anti-Black Racism in the Museum of Childhood Book Collection](#).

This month also saw the inaugural [Saroj Lal Award for City of Edinburgh Schools](#). Founded in memory of pioneering teacher and race relations activist Saroj Lal, these awards were presented to students and teachers from schools across the capital in a ceremony at the City Chambers. The submissions on equalities ranged from art to poetry to podcasts, showcasing the creativity and strong ideals of our young people and the excellent work of education workers.

Meanwhile, the ever-popular Dussehra Festival returned to Calton Hill on 9 October. Featuring well over a hundred artists, the Scottish Indian Arts Forum runs the annual event in celebration of Indian cultural awareness and values. Now we look forward to celebrating [Diwali](#), the famous Indian Festival of Light. Don't miss this colourful city centre parade, lively Ross Bandstand concert and fireworks display in Princes Street Gardens on 6 November.

Get involved

[Keep up to date with all Council news](#). You can [watch live Council and committee meetings](#) on our webcast service and join the debate on Twitter using #edinwebcast. If you wish to unsubscribe, please [email](#) us.

[Follow us on twitter](#)



[Follow us on Facebook](#)



The City of Edinburgh Council

10.00am, Thursday, 27 October 2022

Concessionary Travel on Edinburgh Trams for Young People (Under 22) – referral from the Transport and Environment Committee

Executive/routine
Wards
Council Commitments

1. For Decision/Action

- 1.1 The Transport and Environment Committee has referred a report on Concessionary Travel on Edinburgh Trams for Young People (Under 22) to the City of Edinburgh Council for approval of the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023.

Richard Carr

Interim Executive Director of Corporate Services

Contact: Louise Williamson, Legal and Assurance Division, Corporate Services

E-mail: louise.p.williamson@edinburgh.gov.uk

Referral Report

Concessionary Travel on Edinburgh Trams for Young People (Under 22) – referral from the Transport and Environment Committee

2. Terms of Referral

- 2.1 On 6 October 2022 the Transport and Environment Committee considered a report by the Executive Director of Place which provided an update on the Scottish Government's Young Persons (under 22s) free bus travel scheme and outlined the impact of this on Edinburgh Trams.
- 2.2 Agreement was sought to introduce a scheme of further concessionary fares on Edinburgh Trams until the Scottish Government's Fair Fares Review was complete, due to the financial impact that this would have on the Council.
- 2.3 The Transport and Environment Committee agreed:

Motion

- 1) To note the national arrangements for free bus travel for older and disabled people and for young people under 22.
- 2) To note the arrangements currently in place for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for older, disabled and young people with a National Entitlement Card.
- 3) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up to 31 March 2023 from funding held within reserves.
- 4) To refer the report by the Executive Director of Place to the Council to agree the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023.
- 5) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up until the end of the 2022/23 financial year, and thereafter this should be a matter for the 2023/24 budget setting process.
- 6) To note the Scottish Government intended to carry out a Fair Fares Review in 2022/23.
- 7) To agree that Council officers should continue to discuss concessionary fares on tram with Transport Scotland, highlighting the policy benefits of

integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport and to respond to the Fair Fares Review on the same basis at the appropriate time.

- 8) To agree with the principle that the Scottish Government should support tram travel for young people in Edinburgh on the same basis as bus travel, particularly as the former is zero-emission.
- 9) To agree with Edinburgh Bus Users Group that “The trams should be included fully in the national concessionary travel scheme. It would give pass-holders from across Scotland, not just Edinburgh, free travel on the trams, just as on buses – a proper national scheme, nationally funded.”
- 10) To ask that the Transport and Environment Committee Convener writes to the Scottish Government asking that U22 tram travel in Edinburgh is funded as part of the “Young Persons' Free Bus Travel Scheme.

- moved by Councillor Arthur, seconded by Councillor McKenzie

Amendment 1

- 1) To note the national arrangements for free bus travel for older and disabled people and for young people under 22.
- 2) To note the arrangements currently in place for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for older, disabled and young people with a National Entitlement Card.
- 3) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up to 31 March 2023 from funding held within reserves.
- 4) To refer the report by the Executive Director of Place to the Council to agree the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023.
- 5) To note the enormous long-term benefits of providing free bus travel for young people aged under 22 and asks the Transport and Environment Convener to write to the Scottish Government Transport Minister urging her to consider expanding the funding settlement which provides that free bus travel to include free tram travel for young people aged under 22, given the increasingly interconnected nature of these modes of public transport in Edinburgh.
- 6) To agree to continue with the scheme for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for young people aged under 22, noting that the Scottish Government’s Fair Fares review will not conclude until 2023 and that this review could recommend extending funding to light rail public transport modes for young people aged under 22’
- 7) To note the Scottish Government intended to carry out a Fair Fares Review in 2022/23.
- 8) To agree that Council officers should continue to discuss concessionary fares on tram with Transport Scotland, highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport and to respond to the Fair Fares Review on the same basis at the appropriate time.

- moved by Councillor Aston, seconded by Councillor McFarlane

Amendment 2

- 1) To note the national arrangements for free bus travel for older and disabled people and for young people under 22.
- 2) To note the arrangements currently in place for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for older, disabled and young people with a National Entitlement Card.
- 3) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up to 31 March 2023 from funding held within reserves.
- 4) To refer the report by the Executive Director of Place to the Council to agree the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023.
- 5) To note that any decision around continuing free travel on Edinburgh Trams for those under 22 beyond 31 March will be a matter for the 2023/24 budget process.
- 6) To note the Scottish Government intended to carry out a Fair Fares Review in 2022/23.
- 7) To agree that the costs of providing free U22 travel for Edinburgh Trams should ultimately be met by the Scottish Government and therefore agrees that Council officers should continue to discuss concessionary fares on tram with Transport Scotland, highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport and to respond to the Fair Fares Review on the same basis at the appropriate time.

moved by Councillor Dijkstra-Downie, seconded by Councillor Lang

Amendment 3

- 1) To note the national arrangements for free bus travel for older and disabled people and for young people under 22.
- 2) To note the arrangements currently in place for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for older, disabled and young people with a National Entitlement Card.
- 3) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up to 31 March 2023 from funding held within reserves.
- 4) To refer the report by the Executive Director of Place to the Council to agree the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023.
- 5) To agree to consider the continuation of the scheme for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for young people under 22 beyond 31 March 2023 as part of the Council's budget setting process.
- 6) To ask officers to provide all political groups with information detailing the costs of the scheme and how it could potentially be funded by the 2023/24

council budget, without any gap in concessionary service provision to young people.

- 7) To request the convener to start engagement with the Scottish Government on expanding the U22 Free Bus Travel scheme to light rail
- 8) To note the Scottish Government intended to carry out a Fair Fares Review in 2022/23.
- 9) To agree that Council officers should continue to discuss concessionary fares on tram with Transport Scotland, highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport and to respond to the Fair Fares Review on the same basis at the appropriate time.

- moved by Councillor Bandel, seconded by Councillor Miller

Amendment 4

- 1) To note the national arrangements for free bus travel for older and disabled people and for young people under 22.
- 2) To note the arrangements currently in place for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for older, disabled and young people with a National Entitlement Card.
- 3) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up to 31 March 2023 from funding held within reserves.
- 4) To refer the report by the Executive Director of Place to the Council to agree the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023.
- 5) To agree not to continue with the scheme for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for young people under 22 beyond 31 March 2023.
- 6) To note the Scottish Government intended to carry out a Fair Fares Review in 2022/23.
- 7) To agree that Council officers should continue to discuss concessionary fares on tram with Transport Scotland, highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport and to respond to the Fair Fares Review on the same basis at the appropriate time.
- 8) To agree that should the work of the Fair Fares Review not bring forward Scottish Government funding for concessionary travel on trams for Older People, that the current scheme be put forward as a potential saving in the budget process in order that councillors can consider the relative priority of this concession, given the financial situation facing the Council with approximately £70m of revenue savings required in 2023/24.

- moved by Councillor Whyte, seconded by Councillor Cowdy

In accordance with Standing Order 22(12), Amendments 1 and 4 (as adjusted) and all of 2 and 3, were accepted as addendums to the motion.

Decision

To approve the following adjusted motion by Councillor Arthur:

- 1) To note the national arrangements for free bus travel for older and disabled people and for young people under 22.
- 2) To note the arrangements currently in place for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for older, disabled and young people with a National Entitlement Card.
- 3) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up to 31 March 2023 from funding held within reserves.
- 4) To refer the report by the Executive Director of Place to the Council to agree the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023.
- 5) To note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up until the end of the 2022/23 financial year, and thereafter this should be a matter for the 2023/24 budget setting process.
- 6) To note the enormous long-term benefits of providing free bus travel for young people aged under 22 and ask the Transport and Environment Convener to write to the Scottish Government Transport Minister urging her to consider expanding the funding settlement which provides that free bus travel to include free tram travel for young people aged under 22, given the increasingly interconnected nature of these modes of public transport in Edinburgh.
- 7) To note that any decision around continuing free travel on Edinburgh Trams for those under 22 beyond 31 March would be a matter for the 2023/24 budget process.
- 8) To agree to consider the continuation of the scheme for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for young people under 22 beyond 31 March 2023 as part of the Council's budget setting process.
- 9) To ask officers to provide all political groups with information detailing the costs of the scheme and how it could potentially be funded by the 2023/24 council budget, without any gap in concessionary service provision to young people.
- 10) To request the Convener to start engagement with the Scottish Government on expanding the U22 Free Bus Travel scheme to light rail.
- 11) To note the Scottish Government intended to carry out a Fair Fares Review in 2022/23.
- 12) To agree that the costs of providing free U22 travel for Edinburgh Trams should ultimately be met by the Scottish Government and therefore agree that Council officers should continue to discuss concessionary fares on tram with Transport Scotland, highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport and to respond to the Fair Fares Review on the same basis at the appropriate time.

- 13) To agree with the principle that the Scottish Government should support tram travel for young people in Edinburgh on the same basis as bus travel, particularly as the former is zero-emission.
- 14) To agree with Edinburgh Bus Users Group that “The trams should be included fully in the national concessionary travel scheme. It would give pass-holders from across Scotland, not just Edinburgh, free travel on the trams, just as on buses – a proper national scheme, nationally funded.”
- 15) To ask that the Transport and Environment Committee Convener write to the Scottish Government asking that U22 tram travel in Edinburgh be funded as part of the “Young Persons’ Free Bus Travel Scheme.
- 16) To agree that should the work of the Fair Fares Review not bring forward Scottish Government funding for concessionary travel on trams for Older People, that the current scheme be put forward as a potential saving in the budget process in order that councillors can consider the relative priority of this concession, given the financial situation facing the Council with approximately £70m of revenue savings required in 2023/24.

3. Background Reading/ External References

- 3.1 Minute of the Transport and Environment Committee of 6 October 2022.
- 3.2 [Transport and Environment Committee – 6 October 2022 webcast](#)

4. Appendices

Appendix 1 – Report by the Executive Director of Place

Transport and Environment Committee

10.00am, Thursday, 6 October 2022

Concessionary Travel on Edinburgh Trams for Young People (Under 22)

Executive/routine Wards Council Commitments	Executive All
---	------------------

1. Recommendations

- 1.1 It is recommended that Transport and Environment Committee:
 - 1.1.1 Note the national arrangements for free bus travel for older and disabled people and for young people under 22;
 - 1.1.2 Note the arrangements currently in place for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for older, disabled and young people with a National Entitlement Card;
 - 1.1.3 Note the proposal to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams for the scheme up to 31 March 2023 from funding held within reserves;
 - 1.1.4 Refer this report to the Council to agree the use of reserves to meet the costs incurred by Edinburgh Trams up to 31 March 2023;
 - 1.1.5 Agree not to continue with the scheme for free travel on Edinburgh Trams for young people under 22 beyond 31 March 2023;
 - 1.1.6 Note the Scottish Government intend to carry out a Fair Fares Review in 2022/23; and
 - 1.1.7 Agree that Council officers should continue to discuss concessionary fares on tram with Transport Scotland, highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport and to respond to the Fair Fares Review on the same basis at the appropriate time.

Paul Lawrence

Executive Director of Place

Contact: Hannah Ross, Senior Responsible Officer, Trams to Newhaven project E-mail: hannah.ross@edinburgh.gov.uk

Concessionary Travel on Edinburgh Trams for Young People (Under 22)

2. Executive Summary

- 2.1 This report updates Committee on the Scottish Government's Young Persons (under 22s) free bus travel scheme and outlines the impact of this on Edinburgh Trams and seeks agreement not to introduce a scheme of further concessionary fares on Edinburgh Trams until the Scottish Government's Fair Fares Review is complete, due to the financial impact that this would have on the Council.

3. Background

National Bus Travel Scheme for Older and Disabled People

- 3.1 On 1 April 2006, the Scottish Government introduced the National Bus Travel Scheme for Older and Disabled People.
- 3.2 The aim of the scheme is to provide free bus travel throughout Scotland for those aged 60 or over and to people who have an impairment that severely affects their mobility and ability to carry out day to day activities. The scheme also allows for free travel for companions where required by eligible disabled people.
- 3.3 Local authorities are responsible for administering the National Entitlement Card which provides evidence of eligibility to travel for free through the scheme.
- 3.4 The National Entitlement Card also provides cardholders living in Orkney, Shetland and the Western Isles to receive two free return ferry journeys to the Scottish mainland each year.
- 3.5 The current terms of the scheme have been in place since 2019, with the Scottish Government reimbursing operators at an agreed rate.

Young Persons' Free Bus Travel Scheme

- 3.6 On 31 January 2022, the Scottish Government introduced the Young Persons' (Under 22s) Free Bus Travel Scheme.
- 3.7 This scheme is open to all young people and children aged 5 – 21 years. To travel for free, the young person must hold a National Entitlement Card or a Young Scot National Entitlement Card.

- 3.8 Asylum seekers and refugees aged between 5 and 21 can also access the scheme, with the appropriate Entitlement Card.
- 3.9 As with the concessionary scheme for older and disabled people, the Scottish Government reimburses operators for this scheme.

Concessionary Travel on Edinburgh Trams

- 3.10 The Scottish Government concessionary travel schemes apply to bus travel but do not apply to other modes of public transport, except the ferry travel exception noted above. This means that scheme does not enable free travel for older, disabled or young people on, for example, Edinburgh Trams and the Glasgow Subway.
- 3.11 Following the introduction of the National Travel Scheme for Older and Disabled People, the Council set aside £500,000 per annum to replicate the National Bus Travel Scheme for Older and Disabled People on Edinburgh Trams. This means that, with the appropriate Entitlement Card, Edinburgh residents can access free public transport on both bus and tram.

4. Main report

Current Provision

- 4.1 From 31 January 2022, Edinburgh Trams has offered free travel to young people under 22 who hold a National Entitlement or Young Scot National Entitlement card issued by the City of Edinburgh Council.
- 4.2 From 31 January 2022 to 13 August 2022, the cost of this was £109,000.
- 4.3 The cost of this is currently being met by Edinburgh Trams, with the expectation that this will be reimbursed by the Council from the funding which is held in reserves or as part of the B share arrangements.
- 4.4 Going forward, if Edinburgh Trams continue to offer free travel to young people under the age of 22, the cost is initially expected to be in the region of £25,000 per month. This is likely to increase as take up of the National Entitlement Card increases and with the opening of the tram line to Newhaven. If the scheme were to be extended to the end of the financial year it is considered that an allowance of £30,000 per month should be made, resulting in a total allowance of £304,000.

Fair Fares Review

- 4.5 Since the policy was first proposed, the Chief Executive of Transport for Edinburgh has regularly engaged with Transport Scotland and Scottish Government on concessionary travel on trams.
- 4.6 Council officers met with officers from Transport Scotland in June 2022 to discuss concessionary travel on public transport.
- 4.7 Transport Scotland confirmed that, while the current concessionary schemes only apply on bus, it is intended to carry out a Fair Fares Review during 2022/23.

- 4.8 The Review will consider the cost and availability of public transport services, both to the passenger and the taxpayer, looking at existing discounts and concessionary schemes, fare integration across modes and will consider options for change that are designed to:
- Deliver greater fairness to society in general as well as public transport users;
 - Support the long term viability of our public transport system; and
 - Take into account changes in the relative cost of travel across all modes and by private car.
- 4.9 The review is expected to conclude in 2023.
- 4.10 Transport Scotland officers have confirmed that they will engage with stakeholders and delivery partners as the review progresses.

Conclusion

- 4.11 While recognising the challenges which applying different concessionary arrangements on bus and tram presents, Council officers recommend not replicating the Scottish Government's Young Persons' Free Bus Travel scheme on Edinburgh Trams at this time.
- 4.12 This conclusion is based on the current and future estimated costs of doing so in the context of the financial challenges faced by the Council in 2022/23 and beyond.
- 4.13 Council officers would, however, continue to engage with Transport Scotland on the associated challenges and would respond to the upcoming Fair Fares Review highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across multi-modes of transport.

5. Next Steps

- 5.1 If Committee approve the recommendations of this report, Council officers will:
- 5.1.1 Engage with Edinburgh Trams to ensure that the costs incurred by providing free travel on the tram for young people under 22 until 31 March 2023 are met;
- 5.1.2 Work closely with Edinburgh Trams on the changes this will mean for concessionary travel arrangements for young people under the age of 22, including ensuring that appropriate communication plans are put in place;
- 5.1.3 Continue to engage with Transport Scotland on the Fair Fares Review, highlighting the policy benefits of integrated ticketing and routing across public transport modes; and

6. Financial impact

- 6.1 As noted in paragraph 3.11, the Council has allocated £500,000 per annum to allow Edinburgh residents to travel for free on Edinburgh Trams.

- 6.2 In anticipation of the introduction of a Young Persons' Free Bus Travel Scheme for under 18s, the Council agreed to invest £500,000 in 2021/22 to enable the scheme to be rolled out for young people travelling on Edinburgh Trams. This funding was not utilised and £400,000 of this funding was carried forward into 2022/23 within a Place-specific reserve.
- 6.3 The proposed Revenue Budget Framework for 2022/23 which was considered by Finance and Resources Committee on 3 February 2022 and the Council on [24 February 2022](#) proposed funding for concessionary fares for young people on Edinburgh Trams of £1.5m (increasing the overall allocation for concessionary travel to £2m). The report also noted that a further increase of £1.0m in 2023/24 and thereafter £1.5m was expected to be required on the opening of the tram line to Newhaven.
- 6.4 The Council agreed to remove an allocation of £2m for Tram Under 22 Concessions and to put that money into the corporate reserves (Minute of the City of Edinburgh Council meeting on [24 February 2022](#)).

7. Stakeholder/Community Impact

- 7.1 At present, concessionary travel is offered to older, disabled and young people on Edinburgh Trams in line with the Scottish Government Schemes for Free Bus Travel in Scotland.
- 7.2 Ceasing free for young people on Edinburgh Trams will have an impact for both the young person and for patronage numbers. Council officers will work closely with Edinburgh Trams to ensure that the impact of this change is recognised and that appropriate arrangements are put in place for communicating this change to passengers.
- 7.3 Officers will continue to engage with the Scottish Government through Transport Scotland to ensure that the challenges of this current arrangement for integrated ticketing and routing (including the environmental impact) are recognised.
- 7.4 Officers will prepare a response to the Fair Fares Review at the appropriate time.

8. Background reading/external references

- 8.1 None.

9. Appendices

- 9.1 None.

The City of Edinburgh Council

Thursday 27 October 2022, 10am

Interim appointment of the Council's Chief Social Work Officer

Executive/routine
Wards
Council Commitments

1. Recommendations

- 1.1 To note that as the Council's Chief Social Worker Officer, Jackie Irvine, left the employment of the Council on Friday 16 September. In response to this, to note that the Executive Director of Education and Children's Services, in consultation with the Lord Provost, approved the interim appointment of Rose Howley to the Council's Chief Social Work Officer post under urgency provisions set out in paragraph A4.1 of the Committee Terms of Reference and Delegated Functions.

Andrew Kerr,

Chief Executive

Contact: Amanda Hatton, Executive Director, Education and Children's Services

E-mail: Amanda.Hatton@Edinburgh.gov.uk

Interim appointment to the Council's Chief Social Work Officer

2. Executive Summary

- 2.1 This report provides asks Council to note that an interim appointment to the Council's Chief Social Work Officer post was made under urgency provisions by the Executive Director of Education and Children's Services, in consultation with the Lord Provost, following the resignation of the incumbent post holder.
- 2.2 To note that the recruitment of a permanent Service Director, Children's Services and Criminal Justice (which includes the designated post of Chief Social Work Officer for the Council) commenced on Monday 17th October.

3. Background

- 3.1 There is a requirement for every local authority to appoint a professionally qualified Chief Social Work Officer (CSWO) is contained within Section 3 of the Social Work (Scotland) Act 1968. The particular qualifications are set down in regulations.

4. Main report

- 4.1 The Council's incumbent Chief Social Work Officer left the employment of the Council on Friday 16 September 2022. To note that the Executive Director of Education and Children's Services, in consultation with the Lord Provost, approved the interim appointment of the Council's Chief Social Work Officer under urgency provisions set out in paragraph A4.1 of the Committee Terms of Reference and Delegated Functions.

The interim appointment was made pending commencing the permanent recruitment to the post of Service Director, Children's Services and Criminal Justice, which also fulfils the statutory requirement for the Council's Chief Social Work Officer. This recruitment commenced on Monday 17 October and will be carried out in accordance with the Council's Chief Officer Recruitment Policy.

5. Next Steps

- 5.1 To continue with permanent recruitment to the Service Director, Children's Services and Criminal Justice post.

6. Financial impact

- 6.1 There is no financial impact to the interim appointment as it is contained within budget.

7. Stakeholder/Community Impact

- 7.1 Not applicable.

8. Background reading/external references

- 8.1 Not applicable.

9. Appendices

- 9.1 Not applicable.

This page is intentionally left blank

by virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 3, 12 of Part 1 of Schedule 7A
of the Local Government(Scotland) Act 1973.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 1

By Councillor McVey for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) How many young people who are enrolled in Edinburgh Council schools are currently out of full time education? Can this answer be broken down in those off for 0-3 months, 3-6months, 6-9months, 9-12months and those more than 12 months.

Answer (1)

Question (2) Can the Convener itemise the reasons the Council has on record for these pupils not being in school full time i.e. subject to bullying, illness, expulsion and other categories?"

Answer (2)

Question (3) Is it current policy that those missing out on full time education experience can be offered digital access at home and is this an appropriate replacement to in-class learning in line with GIRFEC according to current Council policy?

Answer (3)

Question (4) What flexibility is there in Council policy to accommodate young people in exceptional circumstances in alternative full time education in other schools that meet the young person's needs for those such as illness or being subjected to bullying?

Answer (4)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 2

By Councillor Mattos-Coelho for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question **(1)** School staff recruitment is of major importance for young person's success in their learning journey.

Can the Education, Children and Families Convener clarify why headteachers have not been able to post adverts to recruit support staff recently?

Answer **(1)**

Question **(2)** Can the Education, Children and Families Convener clarify when will headteachers be able to post adverts to recruit support staff again?

Answer **(2)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 3

By Councillor McVey for answer by the Leader of the Council at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) How many meetings have you had with either the Director or officers directly involved in the delivery of Christmas and Hogmanay to monitor progress on the delivery of the contract? Can the dates of the meetings be provided?

Answer (1)

Question (2) When were you first aware that parts of the contract, such as 3rd sector and Voluntary Stalls on Castle Street were not going to be delivered within the Angel contract?

Answer (2)

Question (3) When were you aware that deadlines for planning permission required to host the events were missed?

Answer (3)

Question (4) When were you first made aware that the financial contribution of Angel Events, which was the basis of them winning the contract, would unlikely be delivered?

Answer (4)

Question (5) Why did you not ensure briefings were provided to Councillors as instructed by the Finance and Resources Committee in June 2022?

Answer (5)

Question (6) Was there a planned briefing for Councillors, or any discussions with officers on the creation of a briefing that was not shared with other Councillors?

Answer (6)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 4

By Councillor McNeese-Mechan for answer by the Convener of the Culture and Communities Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) How many meetings have you had with either the Director or officers directly involved in the delivery of Christmas and Hogmanay to monitor progress on the delivery of the contract? Can the dates of the meetings be provided?

Answer (1)

Question (2) When were you first aware that parts of the contract, such as 3rd sector and Voluntary Stalls on Castle Street were not going to be delivered within the Angel contract?

Answer (2)

Question (3) When were you aware that deadlines for planning permission required to host the events were missed?

Answer (3)

Question (4) When were you first made aware that the financial contribution of Angel Events, which was the basis of them winning the contract, would unlikely be delivered?

Answer (4)

Question (5) Why did you not ensure briefings were provided to Councillors as instructed by the Finance and Resources Committee in June 2022?

Answer (5)

Question (6) Was there a planned briefing for Councillors, or any discussions with officers on the creation of a briefing that was not shared with other Councillors?

Answer (6)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 5

**By Councillor Macinnes for answer
by the Convener of the Finance and
Resources Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 27 October 2022**

Question (1) How many meetings have you had with either the Director or officers directly involved in the delivery of Christmas and Hogmanay to monitor progress on the delivery of the contract? Can the dates of the meetings be provided?

Answer (1)

Question (2) When were you first aware that parts of the contract, such as 3rd sector and Voluntary Stalls on Castle Street were not going to be delivered within the Angel contract?

Answer (2)

Question (3) When were you aware that deadlines for planning permission required to host the events were missed?

Answer (3)

Question (4) When were you first made aware that the financial contribution of Angel Events, which was the basis of them winning the contract, would unlikely be delivered?

Answer (4)

Question (5) Why did you not ensure briefings were provided to Councillors as instructed by the Finance and Resources Committee in June 2022?

Answer (5)

Question (6) Was there a planned briefing for Councillors, or any discussions with officers on the creation of a briefing that was not shared with other Councillors?

Answer (6)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 6

By Councillor Lang for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) On the basis of existing catchments, building capacity constraints and school roll projections, when is Queensferry High School expected to reach its maximum operating capacity?

Answer (1)

Question (2) Given the draft CityPlan was published in November 2021, why did it take until September 2022 for the Council to write formally to landowners around Kirkliston regarding possible sites for a new high school?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Given the long-standing and pressing need to identify future high school capacity for Kirkliston, does the Convener believe it acceptable that landowners around Kirkliston were only written to formally in September 2022 regarding possible sites for a new high school?

Answer (3)

Question (4) What is the time period which would likely be required between a new site being agreed for a new high school and it becoming open and operational, taking into account planning and construction periods?

Answer (4)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 7

**By Councillor Lang for answer by the
Convener of the Transport and
Environment Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 27 October 2022**

Question

What is the current timetable for the resurfacing of Station Road in Queensferry?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 8

By Councillor Lang for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

In a briefing issued to councillors on 2nd September, it was stated that customers of the garden waste collection scheme would be written to with the details of compensation arising from the suspended collections during and immediately following the industrial action.

When were the customers written to with this information?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 9

**By Councillor Neil Ross for answer
by the Convener of the Transport and
Environment Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 27 October 2022**

On 24 June 2021, the Council decided to work with Living Streets, local businesses and the Access Panel to explore long term replacements for the Shopping Streets schemes being removed at that time to give adequate safe space for pedestrians in our local town centres. Schemes were removed from Great Junction Street, Stockbridge, Gorgie/Dalry Road, Bruntsfield, Tollcross, Morningside, Portobello and Corstorphine.

Question (1) For each of these town centres, what engagement has taken place to explore long-term replacements with Living Streets, local businesses and the Access Panel?

Answer (1)

Question (2) When are proposals likely to be brought forward to Council committee for subsequent engagement with local residents, community councils and ward councillors?

Answer (2)

Question (3) If no progress has been made, when is work expected to commence?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 10

**By Councillor Davidson for answer
by the Convener of the Education,
Children and Families Committee at a
meeting of the Council on 27 October
2022**

Question **(1)** How many families is the council currently supporting through Kinship LAC arrangements?

Answer **(1)**

Question **(2)** How much is it estimated that these family arrangements are saving the council in other LAC costs

Answer **(2)**

Question **(3)** Has the council done an analysis on the ongoing legal costs faced by Kinship Carers when facing repeated parental challenges to LAC arrangements?

Answer **(3)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 11

**By Councillor Dijkstra-Downie for
answer by the Convener of the
Transport and Environment
Committee at a meeting of the
Council on 27 October 2022**

Question **(1)** In cases of road surface treatment, what is the normal scheduled time period between a road being treated and contractors returning to repaint road markings?

Answer **(1)**

Question **(2)** What monitoring is undertaken in terms of their adherence to this target time period?

Answer **(2)**

Question **(3)** Are records held of the time taken between surface treatments and the subsequent painting of road markings for individual surfacing projects?

Answer **(3)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 12

By Councillor Dijkstra-Downie for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

To ask the Convener of Transport & Environment Committee, pursuant to the answer given to question 5(2) at the June 2022 meeting of the Council;

Question (1) Which of the school travel plans listed were formally signed off in August as expected?

Answer (1)

Question (2) For those schools travels plans which have been signed off, when will they be published on the Streets Ahead Edinburgh website and shared with ward councillors as promised?

Answer (2)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 13

By Councillor Thornley for answer by the Convener of the Culture and Communities Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

- Question** **(1)** How many Community Councils in Edinburgh are:
- a) currently active, according to the definition on the Directory of Community Councils on the council's website?
 - b) How many have become inactive in the last five years?
 - c) How many, and which, of those active Councils are at risk of becoming inactive?
- Answer** **(1)**
- Question** **(2)** What support and resource has been made available to date for those at risk of becoming inactive, outside of the block grant?
- Answer** **(2)**
- Question** **(3)** What advice and resources, outside of the block grant, are being made available to support at risk Community Councils to avoid them folding?
- Answer** **(3)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 14

By Councillor Osler for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) How many applications have been received in each of the last five years for dropped kerb road occupation permits?

Answer (1)

Question (2) What is the target turnaround time for the applications for dropped kerb road occupation permits in terms of an application being received and a decision being issued?

Answer (2)

Question (3) What percentage of applications have been handled within this target turnaround time?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 15

**By Councillor Davidson for answer
by the Convener of the Education,
Children and Families Committee at a
meeting of the Council on 27 October
2022**

Question

What is the current policy position with respect to school foreign trips and is this policy subject to any periodic review?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 16

By Councillor Thornley for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) When he became aware of plans to install a cycle lane on Maybury Drive?

Answer (1)

Question (2) When were ward councillors and residents made aware of these plans?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Under which Council Policy the decision to install a cycle lane was made?

Answer (3)

Question (4) Whether there are any other road layout changes planned in East Craigs at present?

Answer (4)

Question (5) What he will do, going forward, to ensure that communication with residents takes place as clearly and openly as possible?

Answer (5)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 17

By Councillor Caldwell answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

In July 2022, the City of Edinburgh Council started rolling out sensors to automatically report full bins with a planned 11,000 rolled out by Summer 2023.

Can the Transport and Environment convener please;

Question (1) Provide an updated list of streets or parks, broken down by ward, where sensors onto bins have been rolled out, since inception of the scheme?

Answer (1)

Question (2) Confirm the total of how many times the smart communal bins in Pitt Street have reported themselves as full, broken down by month?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Confirm how many reports have been made via the public 'Report an overflowing communal bin' portal of overflowing communal smart bins in Pitt Street since the initial rollout?

Answer (3)

Question (4) Confirm the total of how many times the smart litter bins in the Meadows have reported themselves as full, broken down by month?

Answer (4)

Question (5) Confirm the total of how many times the smart litter bins on West Lanark Road have reported themselves as full, broken down by month?

Answer (5)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 18

**By Councillor Neil Ross for answer
by the Convener of the Transport and
Environment Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 27 October 2022**

On 11 November 2021, the Council approved a process of engagement with stakeholders to further refine proposals for carer parking permit schemes.

Question (1) What progress has been made to engage with stakeholders on these proposals?

Answer (1)

Question (2) If no progress has been made on engagement, why not?

Answer (2)

Question (3) When is this process expected to commence?

Answer (3)

Question (4) When might a report be presented to councillors with refined proposals for carer parking permit schemes?

Answer (4)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 19

**By Councillor Campbell for answer
by the Convener of the Housing,
Homelessness and Fair Work
Committee at a meeting of the
Council on 27 October 2022**

The financial pressure of homelessness services on the council budget is well documented, but more importantly the human and social cost is exceptionally high.

Question (1) Can the convener confirm if she has met any of the following organisations since taking on her role:

- Shelter
- Crisis
- The Centre for Homelessness Impact
- Simon Community
- Bethany
- Rock Trust
- Cyrenians
- Social Bite
- SHAPE

Answer (1)

Question (2) Once again can I ask if the convener intends to reconvene the Homelessness Task Force which is an essential forum for bringing together the council and third sector partners to discuss joint solutions to the challenges around homelessness in our city?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Can the convener give a timeframe for doing so?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 20

**By Councillor McFarlane for answer
by the Leader of the Council at a
meeting of the Council on 27 October
2022**

Question (1) Has the Leader of the Council followed up with BT as promised at the Full Council meeting on the 22nd of September and when is the next of his meetings scheduled to occur?

Answer (1)

Question (2) On the 22nd September 2022 Full Council meeting and in answer to my question the Leader of the Council stated that only 13 out of 55 jobs by BT in the supposed 'EH1 Post Code Clean-up' had not been completed.

- How many have been completed now?
- Does he agree with the public perception that post 'cleanup' EH1 phone boxes are still covered with graffiti, missing receivers or badly damaged?

Answer (2)

Question (3) On the 22nd September 2022 Full Council meeting in answer to my supplementary question the Leader of the Council stated that he was hopeful some of the applications for BTs new 'phone hubs' would be approved.

What assurances has he had from BT that their new offer will not simply degrade and be as badly maintained as current BT assets are?

Answer (3)

Question (4) Does the Leader of the Council agree that if there is more than one phone box in an area within 400 meters' walking distance from the next public phone box, and if it doesn't meet one of Ofcoms additional criteria blocking its removal that the surplus should be removed by BT immediately?

Answer

(4)

QUESTION NO 21

By Councillor Aston for answer by the Convener of the Planning Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

What delay to the process of adopting City Plan 2030 does the Planning Convener understand would be caused by reconsidering the principles it sets out for development of purpose-built student accommodation, with regard to defining and tackling overconcentration of purpose-built student accommodation in particular areas of the city?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 22

By Councillor Kumar for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question **(1)** In relation to the oversubscription at James Gillespie's High School (JGHS):

- a) What discussions has the Convener had with James Gillespie's High School (JGHS) about the increasing school roll?
- b) Has the Convener had any discussions with JGHS's parent council? What was the resulting outcome?

Answer **(1)**

Question **(2)** The Convener has previously answered that two secondary schools and seven primary schools were oversubscribed for new residents in their catchment areas.

- a) What are the names of these schools?
- b) Has the convener had discussions with these schools? What was the resulting outcome?

Answer **(2)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 23

By Councillor Key for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

The Council Report Schedule dated 19/10/22 does not list any agenda items at all for the meeting of the Education, Children and Families Committee on 15th November 2022.

Every other Committee scheduled in that paper between October and December has a comprehensive list of agenda items.

Has the Convener taken her eye off the ball again, does she need a Vice-Convener to help with the volume of work, and will her Committee continue to run with no transparency and no forward planning?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 24

By Councillor Mattos Coelho for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

The Scottish Government Young persons' (under 22s) free bus travel scheme is being very welcomed by families across the city. 51,784 cards had been issued in the CEC area by July 2022, representing 65% of those eligible and is one of the highest out of the Scottish Local Authorities.

However, can the Transport and Environment Convener clarify what is the council doing to help families where English is not their first language, do not have access to a computer or have a library close by to apply for bus passes for their children?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 25

By Councillor McVey for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question **(1)** Can the Convener give an update on how many young Ukrainians, who have fled Russia's illegal and immoral war, are in each Council school?

Answer **(1)**

Question **(2)** Can the Convener outline how much additional resource has been paid to each Council school specifically to support the increase in school rolls and to support young Ukrainian students studying in Edinburgh primary and secondary schools?

Answer **(2)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 26

By Councillor Mumford for answer by the Convener of the Finance and Resources Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

Since the Full Council in June 2022, what progress has been made to arranging additional training, focus groups and a survey on Gender Budget Analysis?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 27

By Councillor Younie for answer by the Leader of the Council at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

There have been references in media that many Councils in Scotland including Edinburgh are utilising CCTV cameras supplied by HikVision, which are linked to human rights abuses in the People's Republic of China.

There are also reports that these cameras are being removed in Edinburgh.

To ask the Leader of the Council;

Question (1) Can he confirm that the cameras are being removed?

Answer (1)

Question (2) Can he provide a timetable for their removal?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Can he provide updates when each action on said timetable is completed?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 28

**By Councillor Younie for answer by
the Chair of the Edinburgh
Integration Joint Board at a meeting
of the Council on 27 October 2022**

Many Day Centres having closed, especially in the West of the City, with little provision made for those in need.

To ask the Chair of the Edinburgh Integrated Joint Board;

Question (1) How many Day Centres were closed over the last 5 years?

Answer (1)

Question (2) How many people are on the waiting lists for Day Centres in Edinburgh?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Would it be possible to review the covid regulations which the Day Centres are operating under?

Answer (3)

Question (4) When will the closed Day Centres be reopening?

Answer (4)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 29

By Councillor Booth for answer by the Leader of the Council at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

Further to his supplementary answer to my question at full council of 22 September 2022, can I give the council leader a further opportunity to answer the question: what were the reasons behind his changes to the Licensing Board, as agreed by full council on 26 May 2022?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 30

By Councillor Dijkstra-Downie for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) With regard to the kitchen upgrades at Trinity Primary School which started in April 2022, and which were initially due to be completed in August, but which are still ongoing, resulting in no warm lunches for pupils since 25 April 2022, what is the cause or causes of this delay?

Answer (1)

Question (2) Which other schools are facing delays to kitchen upgrades?

Answer (2)

Question (3) What measures are being taken to ensure other schools are not facing similar delays and therefore disruptions to warm lunch provision?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 31

By Councillor Bruce for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

- Question** **(1)** Currie Community high School Swimming Pool
- a) When did the swimming pool at Currie Community High School close to pupils and the local community?
 - b) What were the reasons behind the closure?
 - c) When is the pool likely to re-open?

Answer **(1)**

- Question** **(2)** Wester Hailes High School Swimming Pool
- a) When did the swimming pool at Wester Hailes High School close for maintenance on lighting?
 - b) Why is the lighting work taking so long to repair?
 - c) When is the pool likely to re-open?

Answer **(2)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 32

By Councillor Bruce for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) Please could you tell me the number of recycling/garden waste bins that have been damaged by lorries when emptying residents bins per year and per ward for the previous 3 years?

Answer (1)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 33

By Councillor Bruce for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) What progress has been made in securing reliable and frequent bus services on routes 20, 63, and 68?

Answer (1)

Question (2) It has been clear for many years that the vast majority of the residents of Ratho want a direct bus service re-instated into the centre of Edinburgh. For a lot of residents this service is essential for work and leisure. With two new large housing developments now in situ since the direct bus was stopped, what is the council doing to meet the needs of residents of Ratho in this regard?

Answer (2)

Question (3) What discussions has the council had with regards to the First Bus services being sold to McGills?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 34

By Councillor Cowdy for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Given the Council's commitment to spend 10% of its Transport budget to improve cycling facilities, what efforts is the Convener making to ensure:

- Question** **(1)** Investment is directed to two of the city's best pieces of National Cycle Infrastructure; National Route 75 along the Water of Leith and Union Canal towpath; and National Route 754 along the Union Canal towpath connecting Wester Hailes to the city centre?
- Answer** **(1)**
- Question** **(2)** Non segregated priority and mandatory cycle lanes across the city are being properly maintained?
- Answer** **(2)**
- Question** **(3)** Secure overnight cycle parking is being provided to residents across the city, particularly those living in tenement flats?
- Answer** **(3)**
- Question** **(4)** More Bike racks are distributed on the streets across the city to avoid bikes locked to lamp posts or railings creating footpath obstructions?
- Answer** **(4)**

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 35

By Councillor Cowdy for answer by the Convener of the Culture and Communities Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Three bridges and two paths in the very popular Colinton and Craiglockhart Dells are now closed through lack of repair, and increasing frustrations are felt by local residents and city-wide visitors alike at the slow progress of reinstatement:

Question (1) What is the Convener doing to ensure these bridges and paths are repaired as a matter of urgency?

Answer (1)

Question (2) In light of ongoing inflationary pressures affecting the construction industry can the Convener confirm costs for repair of the Redhall Weir Bridge and the Pipeline Bridge are still within budget?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Given three years have now passed since the Redhall Bridge was closed can the Convener confirm the timescales for its re-instatement?

Answer (3)

Question (4) What steps is the Convener taking to make sure systems are put in place to avoid having so many paths and crossing points of the Water of Leith out of action at the same time.

Answer (4)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 36

By Councillor Cowdy for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

Now that the booking system has been in place for over two years, can the Convener provide data for recycling volumes for; before the booking system was implemented; during Lockdown; and since Physical Distancing requirements ended on 9th Aug 2021?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 37

By Councillor Cowdy for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

As the proposed Workplace Parking Levy, increasing global energy prices, the City's proposed Low Emissions Zone and Net Zero 2030 ambitions puts pressure on organisations operating within the city, some are keen to turn to new Electric Vehicle fleets but a lack of clarity from the Council is holding up the procurement process.

Question (1) When will publicly accessible EV charging points be placed in Council facility car parks including schools, libraries and leisure centres?

Answer (1)

Question (2) When will the Council be issuing a clear strategy for managing their Electric Vehicle charging points within the city?

Answer (2)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 38

By Councillor Cowdy for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Given that:

- There is a budgeted Carriageway and footway replacement cost of £2,260m
- The Roads and Footway Capital Investment Programme capital budget for 2022/23 is £15.178m
- At this rate of replacement/repair it would take 149 years to repair all the city's roads and pavements
- New roads have a life expectancy of 20-30 years

Question

Can the Convener confirm the cost impact to road repairs of implementing Street Design Guidance?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 39

By Councillor Cowdy for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

In response to increased instances of flooding across the city from extreme heavy rainfall events, and complaints from residents, the flood prevention team acknowledged the serious issue and said, in 2021:

- The Council and Scottish Water are collaborating through a drainage partnership, which also encompasses SEPA to address these issues together.
- The Council is working closely with Scottish Water to develop surface water management plans, which identify the most critical areas in this city for flooding.
- It is not feasible or practical to design road drainage or sewers to cope with extreme events until there is a better understanding of the extent of the problem across the city.

Question **(1)** When will the drainage partnership be reporting their findings to Council?

Answer **(1)**

Question **(2)** Have the most critical areas in this city for flooding been identified?

Answer **(2)**

Question **(3)** What steps are being taken to understand the extent of the problem across the city?

Answer **(3)**

Question **(4)** When will suggested reporting on a strategy for the Blue/Green Infrastructure in the City come before Committee or the Council?

Answer **(4)**

Item 10.40

QUESTION NO 40

By Councillor Doggart for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) How many “Fitness to Teach” referrals have been made by City of Edinburgh Council in each of the last 10 years to the GTCS?

Answer (1)

Question (2) How many “Fitness to Teach” referrals were made by members of the public to GTCS in each of the last 10 years in respect of teachers employed by City of Edinburgh Council?

Answer (2)

Question (3) When will the GTCS review of the “Fitness to Teach” referral process in City of Edinburgh Council be completed and when will the results of that review be presented to the ECF Committee?

Answer (3)

Question (4) Have officers completed a review of current processes in relation to GTSC referrals and if so, when?

Answer (4)

Question (5) Has City of Edinburgh Council ceased using Non-Disclosure Agreements in relation to teachers leaving the Council’s employment to alleviate the GTCS’s concern expressed in the Chief Executive’s letter dated 16 February 2022 to the City of Edinburgh Council’s Chief Executive? If so, what was the date of the last NDA

Answer (5)

QUESTION NO 41

By Councillor Jones for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) What were the Curriculum for Excellence attainment results for pupils in P1, P4 and P7 in each Primary School in Edinburgh for reading, writing, listening and talking and numeracy for 2018/2019, 2019/2020, 2020/2021 and 2021/2022?

Answer (1)

Question (2) How do these results compare with the Curriculum for Excellence expected levels?

Answer (2)

Question (3) What interventions were implemented to achieve the expected levels of attainment?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 42

By Councillor Jones for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) Could the Convener provide all the information which was used to inform officers' recommendation to implement the Brunstane Road ETRO?

Answer (1)

Question (2) Could the Convener confirm when all the information regarding the 6 month review of the Brunstane Road ETRO will be made public?

Answer (2)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 43

By Councillor Jones for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

Could the Convener confirm when the Report on improving the Placing Appeals Process will be presented to the Education, Children and Families Committee?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 44

**By Councillor Gardiner for answer by
the Convener of the Development
Management Sub-Committee
Committee at a meeting of the
Council on 27 October 2022**

Question

Can the Convener confirm that the Council Leader at no time alerted them, in their role as a relevant Convenor, to the problems and issues he was aware of regarding the delivery of Edinburgh's Christmas?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 45

By Councillor Work for answer by the Convener of the Licensing Board at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

Can the Convener confirm that the Council Leader at no time alerted them, in their role as a relevant Convenor, to the problems and issues he was aware of regarding the delivery of Edinburgh's Christmas?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 46

By Councillor Fullerton for answer by the Convener of the Licensing Sub-Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

Can the Convener confirm that the Council Leader at no time alerted them, in their role as a relevant Convenor, to the problems and issues he was aware of regarding the delivery of Edinburgh's Christmas?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 47

By Councillor Fullerton for answer by the Convener of Regulatory Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question

Can the Convener confirm that the Council Leader at no time alerted them, in their role as a relevant Convenor, to the problems and issues he was aware of regarding the delivery of Edinburgh's Christmas?

Answer

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 48

By Councillor Caldwell for answer by the Leader of the Council at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Small local shops and customer-facing businesses are vital to Edinburgh, contributing monies and employment to our Gross Added Value. They are a cornerstone for our town centres and communities in the draft City Plan 2030.

In 2020, we saw more Edinburgh businesses close than open for the first time and now they're feeling the effects of the Cost-of-Living Crisis. 3 out of the 5 independent cafes in Bonnington have closed in the last six months alone.

Can the convener please confirm;

Question (1) What steps are being taken by the Council to ensure our town centre businesses are being supported and can continue serving their communities?

Answer (1)

Question (2) What discussions has the Scottish Government's Minister for Businesses, Trade, Tourism and Enterprise had with the Council regarding support for small shopfront businesses and has the Minister offered any support?

Answer (2)

Question (3) Will the Policy and Sustainability Convener write an open letter to this month's Chancellor to underline the importance of a stable economy for Edinburgh and it's diverse culture and communities?

Answer (3)

This page is intentionally left blank

QUESTION NO 49

By Councillor Heap for answer by the Convener of the Education, Children and Families Committee at a meeting of the Council on 27 October 2022

Question (1) How many times since May 2022 have Council representatives met representatives from LOVE to LOVE Gorgie Farm?

Answer (1)

Question (2) What the Council is doing to support Gorgie Farm?

Answer (2)

This page is intentionally left blank

CITY OF EDINBURGH COUNCIL

Item No 3

THE CITY OF EDINBURGH COUNCIL

27 OCTOBER 2022

DEPUTATION REQUESTS

Subject	Deputation
<p>3.1 In relation to Item 10.14 on the agenda – Motion by Councillor Mumford – Get Me Home Safely</p>	<p>Unite the Union</p>
<p>3.2 In relation to Item 10.15 on the agenda – Motion by Councillor Cowdy - You Tube Access at High Schools</p>	<p>James Gillespie’s High School “Pupil Voice”</p>
<p>3.3 In relation to Item 10.18 on the agenda – Motion by Councillor Faccenda – Human Rights in Iran</p>	<p>British-Iranian Diaspora Residents of Edinburgh</p>
<p>3.4 In relation to</p> <p>a) Item 10.1 on the agenda – Motion by Councillor Mumford – Operation Unicorn</p> <p>b) Item 10.2 on the agenda – Motion by Councillor McVey - Edinburgh's Christmas</p> <p>c) Item 10.19 on the agenda – Motion by Councillor Lezley Marion Cameron - Small Business Saturday 2022</p>	<p>Tron Area Business Group</p>

This page is intentionally left blank